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Dear Honorable Board,

It is my distinct pleasure to present to you the first edition of the new County Quarterly Report. This report pulls from a selection of our Community Services, Human Services, Public Safety and Health departments to provide your Board a snapshot of the critical services and programs they provide to our community. Part progress report, part look forward — my goal with this report is creating the opportunity for your Board to know from departments in their own words and style how they are accomplishing their goals, how they’re facing any potential challenges and what efforts they may bring to your Board at a future date. This report is organized with specific sections from participating departments, a Legislative Update and a succinct list of anticipated Board agenda items.

It is impossible to fully encapsulate everything our hard-working employees and critical departments do in a singular document but it’s my hope that these ongoing reports open the window slightly further into those spheres. While some details may replicate what departments have previously provided you in presentations or reports, this chronicle of the past quarter collects them in a singular resource.

Going forward, I anticipate future reports including a wider breadth of departments and am happy to include any specific types of information your Board may require. I welcome all feedback and hope you find these reports an informative and helpful guide.

Regards,

Mike Callagy
County Manager/Clerk of the Board
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ANTICIPATED BOARD AGENDA ITEMS

AGRICULTURE

- Amendment to the High Risk Pest Exclusion contract  April 2019
- Appropriation Transfer Request to expend over-realized Unrefunded Gas Tax (UGT)  May 2019

CONTROLLER

- Approval of services contract for first phase of replacement property tax system project  TBD

HEALTH

- Approval of the 9-1-1 Ambulance Agreement with American Medical Response (AMR) and a separate agreement with San Mateo County Pre-Hospital Emergency Medical Services Group (Fire-EMS Joint Powers Authority)  April 2019

PARKS

- On-call construction services contracts  April 2019
- Tunitas Creek Beach  May 2019
  - Agreement with selected consulting firm for planning and design
  - Acceptance of coastal conservancy funding 1) property purchase, and 2) planning and design
- Moss Beach Playground contracts (playground purchase and construction)  May 2019
- Ohlone Portola Heritage Trail Feasibility Study  TBD
- Dog Pilot Program data report  TBD

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT UNIT

- Approval of the MG|TRA On-Call Increase/Extension Amendment  April 2019
- Selection of furniture, fixtures and equipment vendor  April 2019
- Approval of ROC Data Center ISD scope  April 2019
- Approval of project labor agreement for COB3/Parking Structure 2  April 2019
- Selection of South San Francisco CM At Risk  June 2019
- Approval of Proposition 172 funding  TBD
- Approval of contract amendment with Sage/PPA or PPA Request for Proposal  TBD
- Approval of Cordilleras easement for creek outfall basin  TBD
- Approval to wave grading moratorium for Cordilleras  TBD

(continued on next page)
ANTICIPATED BOARD AGENDA ITEMS

(PROJECT DEVELOPMENT UNIT (continued))

- Approval of Cordilleras easement for creek outfall basin TBD
- Approval to wave grading moratorium for Cordilleras TBD
- Approval to increase Cannon Design contract limit for anticipated changes TBD
- Approval to increase McCarthy contract amount/approved CO amendments TBD
- Selection of on-call architectural/engineering firm RFP TBD
- Amendment to the contract with SCA Environmental, Inc. for Animal Shelter hazmat monitoring (potential that this scope will be transferred to an on-call task order) TBD
- Approval of the Coroner’s Suite budget TBD
- Awarding of Coroner’s Suite A/E contract TBD
- Approval of GHD contract amendment for San Mateo Medical Center Geotech monitoring TBD
- Approval of Coroner’s Office interim relocation TBD
- PDU Quarterly Study Session TBD

(PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS)

- Approval of the issuance of a purchase order to Deccan International for software and services related to the new CAD system—dynamic deployment software, five-year term, total not to exceed $1,281,264 April 2019

(PUBLIC WORKS)

- Approval of the San Carlos Airport Noise Contours based on the Part 150 Noise Study TBD
- Introduce and Adopt Sewer Rate increases for FY 2019-20 for three County Sewer/Sanitation Districts (Fair Oaks Sewer Maintenance District, Harbor Industrial Sewer Maintenance District, and the Crystal Springs County Sanitation District) TBD
- Approval of County-wide Residential Permit Parking Program guidelines TBD
Asian Citrus Psyllid Eradication Project

Asian Citrus Psyllid was detected in both Foster City and Redwood City. Both appear to be single introductions but Agriculture Department pest detection staff have inundated the area around the finds with ACP detection traps to determine if there is an active infestation.

The affected citrus trees as well as those within 50 meters will be treated with an insecticide. Before residential citrus trees are treated, consent is obtained from the property owner. Eradicative treatments have not yet been scheduled; however, a community outreach meeting was held March 18 at the San Carlos Library on Elm Street. This outreach is for both Foster City and Redwood City residents in the quarantined area.

ACP by itself is not an impactful pest; however, it is the only insect that can spread Citrus Greening disease, also known as Huanglongbing, a disease fatal to citrus trees. HLB has not yet been detected in the Bay Area, but it is under eradication in Southern California where the introduction of ACP has facilitated its spread.

ACP was previously found in Daly City and Pacifica in 2013, and again in San Bruno in 2015. Those control programs have been completed and eradication declared. However, the resultant quarantines remain in place and continue to be enforced by Agriculture Department staff. Though there are no commercial citrus growers in San Mateo County, the quarantine does affect nurseries and garden supply stores selling citrus trees, as well as the movement of any citrus fruits with leaves, or citrus plants.

Fine Vegetation Mapping

Ag is working with Parks, Public Works and others on this project to provide highly accurate and valuable data to inform management actions by County departments to reduce fire risk, assist with habitat management actions, flood risk reduction, sea level rise planning, invasive weeds management and green infrastructure projects.
High Risk Pest Exclusion contract amendment
The Department of Agriculture/Weights and Measures received additional funding for the High Risk Pest Exclusion (HRPE) contract with the California Department of Food and Agriculture. This agreement offsets costs associated with the inspection of foreign and inter-state agricultural shipments at points of entry such as SFO, FedEx and UPS, for invasive pests such as Medfly. The goal is to bring this before the Board at its April 23, 2019 meeting. This item will likely be on consent.

ATR to expend over-realized Unrefunded Gas Tax (UGT)
Because of increases to California fuel tax, Agriculture/Weights and Measures received additional UGT subvention funds. These funds reimburse the department for agriculture regulatory programs managed by the state. The department’s goal is to bring this before the Board in May. This item will likely be on consent.

Three Agriculture / Weights and Measures employees were recognized this quarter for their long service with the County. The recipients are Biologist / Standards Specialists Grant Joo and Renald Toruno with 20 years of service and Deputy Director Jeremy Wagner with 10 years.

California Association of Standards and Agricultural Professionals is a voluntary organization of California state-licensed agricultural and weights and measures professionals committed to education, communication, and professionalism among its membership and measures inspectors’ developmental organization. This past year, Agriculture/Standards Inspector Erin Herbst served as the CASAP North Vice President, with Agriculture/Standards Inspector Jenny Gossett serving as Secretary, on the CASAP 2018 Board of Directors. The department recognizes their service and dedication to professional development.
Fiscal Year 2017-18 Single Audit Reports

Annual report was submitted to federal and state governments on March 27, 2019.

Single Audits are required for non-federal entities that expend $750,000 or more in federal awards in a fiscal year. The Single Audit looks at the internal controls, both operational and financial, of major federal programs for compliance with the terms of the programs.

The Single Audit Reports for FY 2017-18, audited by Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP, received an unmodified ("clean") opinion.

These reports show total County federal expenditures of $117 million for FY 2017-18. In a separate report, the County's Housing Authority reported federal expenditures of $94 million for FY 2017-18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
<th>FY 15-16</th>
<th>FY 16-17</th>
<th>FY 17-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo County</td>
<td>$116 million</td>
<td>$119 million</td>
<td>$117 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC Housing Authority</td>
<td>$68 million</td>
<td>$75 million</td>
<td>$94 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

San Mateo County Countywide Oversight Board

This countywide board was established on July 1, 2018, as a result of consolidating 13 individual oversight boards, in accordance with the California Health and Safety Code. The seven member appointed board, supported by the Controller’s Office staff, includes representatives of the county, cities/towns, special districts, unions, the public, community colleges, and K-12 schools. The board is responsible for providing direction and oversight to the Successor Agencies of former Redevelopment Agencies (RDAs). These Successor Agencies belong to the cities of Belmont, Brisbane, Daly City, East Palo Alto, Foster City, Menlo Park, Millbrae, Pacifica, Redwood City, San Bruno, San Carlos, San Mateo and South San Francisco.

The board’s activities are ongoing until all Successor Agencies of former RDAs are dissolved after they dispose of all assets and pay-off their outstanding obligations.

Statistics: In FY 2017-18, $40.4 million was distributed to successor agencies to pay for outstanding obligations.
FY 2018-19 Property Tax Distributions

Different types of property taxes (i.e., secured, unsecured, supplemental, special charges, etc.) are distributed throughout each tax year to local government agencies operating within San Mateo County.

**Fiscal year-to-date tax amounts distributed to local government agencies:**

![Property Tax Revenue Distributed Table]

*Includes secured, unsecured, unitary, VLF, Excess ERAF and HOPTR.

---

**FY 2017-18 Property Tax Highlights Publication**

*Issued on January 21, 2019*

This publication provides an overview of the property tax administration process, and of the different types of property taxes collected and distributed to local government agencies operating in San Mateo County.

For the seventh consecutive year, property taxes collected countywide have increased. In FY 2017-18 a total of $2.6 billion was levied, consisting of $2.1 billion for 1% General Tax, $246 million for debt service payments for bonds, and $263 million for special charges.

In FY 2017-18, the top 10 taxpayers paid a total of $133.2 million or 5.72% of total taxes billed. This shows that the County’s tax base is broad and does not rely on a limited number of taxpayers or industries. *(continued on next page)*
FY 2017-18 Property Tax Highlights Publication (continued from prior page)

San Mateo County Property Taxes
Fiscal Year 2017-18

1% General Tax Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Districts</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successor Agencies of Former RDAs</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Districts</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Countywide Property Taxes Distributed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1% General Tax</td>
<td>$2.1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter Approved Debt</td>
<td>$254 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specials Charges</td>
<td>$262 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Taxes</td>
<td>$2.6 Billion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top 10 Taxpayers in FY 2017-18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type of Business</th>
<th>Property Taxes Billed* (millions)</th>
<th>% of Total Taxes Billed*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Gas &amp; Electric</td>
<td>Utility</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>1.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genentech</td>
<td>Biotechnology</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Airlines</td>
<td>Airline</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilead Sciences Inc</td>
<td>Biopharmaceutical</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google, Inc.</td>
<td>Software</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook Inc</td>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle Corporation</td>
<td>Software</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Airlines</td>
<td>Airline</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slough BTC LLC</td>
<td>Lessor</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peninsula Innovation Partners</td>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$133.2</td>
<td>5.72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on the general tax and debt service on secured, unsecured, unitary, and railroad properties as of June 30, 2018
Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Project

Preparing and providing timely, transparent and useful information to taxpayers and citizens about the County’s finances is a top priority for the Controller’s Office. Implementation of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) Constructor module, within the County’s financial accounting system, will allow the Controller’s Office to compile and complete the County’s annual financial statements and required notes in a more efficient manner. This new tool will enable the automated linking of amounts throughout the CAFR document to facilitate edits and reduce the risk of errors or inconsistencies.
Fire Suppression Operations and Response


San Mateo County Fire Department (SMCFD) firefighters have been busy over the past three months responding to a variety of emergencies. With above normal rainfall, recent storms have resulted in an increased number of weather-related emergencies. The combination of strong winds and saturated soil has resulted in numerous trees falling, roadways flooding and significant vehicle accidents. Several of these emergencies have resulted in serious injuries and fatalities. Additional staffing has been required to contend with the challenges on some rural roadways, including flooding on Pescadero Creek Road and a large sink hole on Skyline Boulevard.

No significant structure fires have occurred within the SMCFD’s response area. Several remote area rescues, ocean rescues and cliff rescues have occurred.
Community Outreach and Education

- On January 27, Pescadero Fire Station 59 firefighters joined the Pescadero community at the Pescadero Elementary School Garden Work Party. Firefighters helped build flower boxes for children to grow a garden.

- On January 22, Division Chiefs Jonathan Cox and Rich Sampson joined Supervisor Don Horsley and representatives from PG&E to discuss fire safety with community members in the El Granada hills. Topics of conversation included evacuation safety, defensible space, fire breaks, notifications, powerlines and fire weather.

SMCFD/CAL FIRE firefighters build planters at the elementary school
Fire Prevention

- On March 4, 2019, Gary Silva was appointed to the position of fire marshal for San Mateo County Fire Department. Gary has a construction background and has been in the fire service for over 20 years. Gary has served in a variety of positions including field operations at Coastside Fire District, duty officer in the Emergency Command Center, law enforcement officer in fire prevention and, most recently, battalion chief in operations in San Mateo County.

- San Mateo County Fire Department/CAL FIRE staff continue to participate in monthly San Mateo County Fire Safe Council meetings. These meetings including representatives from a variety of organizations, agencies, cities and districts with the goal of reducing fire risk through vegetation management projects and education. Recent Fire Safe initiatives have focused on cross-jurisdictional collaboration on planned and in-progress vegetation management projects going on throughout the county.

SMCFD/CAL FIRE firefighters from Pescadero providing standby for a movie production are seen here with MythBusters co-host Adam Savage

Fire Crews from Pescadero Fire Station 59 provided fire and paramedic standby coverage for a movie production along the ocean cliffs near Pescadero Creek Road in January. These firefighters, paid through reimbursement by the movie producers, were in place to ensure that any fire-related emergency was mitigated quickly due to the nature of the movie production.

SMCFD/CAL FIRE participated in the San Mateo County Fire Safe Council meeting
Fire Prevention (continued)

The San Mateo County Fire Department Office of the Fire Marshal continues conducting fire and life safety inspections and review plans for construction in the unincorporated parts of the county.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fire Marshal's Office Activity (January-February 2019)</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phone Calls</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoke Detector Inspections</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Media Contacts</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plan Reviews (Commercial)</strong></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plan Reviews (Residential)</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meetings</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weed Abatement</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permits</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inspections: LE-100</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inspections: Sprinkler/Hydrant/Tanks</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inspections: Other</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inspections: Title 19</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investigations</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety Coverage</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Battalion Coverage</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

San Mateo County Fire staff continues to participate with other county departments on long-term wildfire risk reduction strategies and initiatives. In February, members of SMCFD/CAL FIRE participated in the Climate Collaborative kick-off. This was an ideal opportunity to build connections and networks with the common goal of climate change adaptation. Staff continues to participate in a more specific fire-risk group, looking at the long-term impacts of wildfire in the county. SMCFD staff took several County supervisor staff members on a tour of some of the most vulnerable areas of the county, looking at access, vegetation, roadways and water supplies.
SMCFD/CAL FIRE firefighters have spent over 1,379 hours training on a variety of fire, medical and rescue subjects to ensure operational readiness. Training topics have included:

- Company Officer 2A HR Management Course
- Company Officer 2B General Administrative Functions
- Company Officer 2C Fire Inspection and Investigations Course
- Task Force/Strike Team Leader
- Paramedic continuing education
- Incident Management 2
- Fire Fighter Academy
- Ladder Truck Academy
- EMS Skills Verification and Recertification
- Company Officer Academy
- Air Operations Branch Director Curriculum Development
- Low Angle Rope Rescue
- Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting

SMCFD/CAL FIRE firefighters practice cliff rescue skills during a recent rope rescue training class

SMCFD/CAL FIRE firefighters practice commercial roof ventilation techniques during a recent truck academy

SMCFD/CAL FIRE firefighters practice ladder rescues during a recent ladder truck academy
SMCFD/CAL FIRE firefighters participated in training Loma Mar Fire Company 55, La Honda Volunteer Fire Brigade Company 57, and Kings Mountain Volunteer Fire Department Company 56 on a variety of topics, including:

- Multi-company structural firefighting
- Working with medical helicopters
- Odor investigations
- Swiftwater Rescue Awareness
- Enhanced medical capabilities

Loma Mar volunteer firefighters train on new medical tools and medications.
In 2018, the Insurance Service Office (ISO), an independent agency that rates fire services throughout the United States, rated San Mateo County Fire Department’s CSA #1 (San Mateo Highlands) as Class 1. The Class 1 designation is the highest rating any fire department can receive. This periodic review is one criteria insurance agencies use in setting rates for fire insurance. There are only 331 fire departments in the United States with this rating and less than 40 ISO Class 1 fire departments in California, and only two in San Mateo County. To showcase this accomplishment, SMCFD Highlands Fire Station 17 apparatus now carry a Class 1 decal.

⇒ 6-Year Proposed Operating Plan development
⇒ Defensible space clearance inspections in high fire risk areas of the county
⇒ Various vegetation management projects throughout the county
⇒ Installation of new narcotic storage compartments on all front-line fire apparatus
⇒ Ladder truck academy (April)
⇒ Placing an order for a new wildland fire engine, to replace an engine that is over 25 years old
⇒ Table-top exercise planned with SF PUC to practice commanding a fire in the watershed
⇒ Firefighter refresher training with SF PUC staff
⇒ Continue to explore ways to recruit and retain volunteers in Loma Mar
⇒ Highlands CERT new member (initial) training
⇒ Wildfire evacuation zone development, in cooperation with San Mateo County Fire Chiefs Association.
Measure K: Augmented Housing Inspection Program Pilot

Status: Ongoing

The Environmental Health Division (EHS) of San Mateo County Health routinely inspects all multi-family dwellings with four or more units for health and safety violations, with a routine inspection frequency of once every four years. The four-year inspection cycle is consistent with similar programs in other counties throughout the state. Approximately 50 percent of complexes were receiving additional, non-routine inspections during the four-year cycle. These non-routine inspections were triggered by staff follow-up on identified issues and tenant complaints. Most tenant complaints were about vermin, such as rodents, roaches, and bed bugs or plumbing and sewage issues. Ten percent of the housing inventory required at least four visits by staff during the four-year inspection cycle. This portion of the housing inventory had chronic issues that warranted more oversight and education.

EHS established a Measure K-funded pilot that employed an additional inspector and an outreach coordinator to focus efforts on the 10 percent of apartment inventory (approximately 350 buildings) that had chronic problems. For the apartments in the pilot, if the routine inspection results in major violations requiring correction, building management receives additional support from the inspector and outreach coordinator concurrently, with more hands-on assistance to ensure a return to compliance. This strategy is a combination of enhanced education and outreach to tenants, who must be part of the solution, as well as more active oversight of violation resolution to ensure compliance is reached in a timely manner. The results for the last three years have been tracked, and the data indicates a steady decline in violations among the apartment buildings targeted in this pilot group, with an increased awareness of the most effective pest management strategies by both tenants and property management.

The Measure K Outreach Coordinator educates property owners as well as renters about their respective roles around pest prevention and strategies around maintaining a safe and healthy living space. The Outreach Coordinator has built trust in the community and has been allowed access to units whose tenants have denied access to pest control companies and EHS housing program inspectors.

In addition to home visits, the outreach coordinator has reached out to various health and community organizations and agencies to raise awareness and offer guidance to improving healthy living conditions.
Program initiated Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Demonstration Project

In 2018, EHS initiated an 18-month demonstration project that employs an integrated pest management approach to pest control and building maintenance at a 12-unit apartment building in Redwood City, in the North Fair Oaks neighborhood. Integrated pest management is a comprehensive strategy to addressing recurring pest problems in multi-family buildings using a whole-building approach, integrating the contributions of the pest control operator, the building owner, and tenants. EHS hired a contractor/consultant specializing in IPM to apply these concepts to this property to reduce pests. Since the beginning of the project, the IPM contractor has conducted monthly visits to each of the units at the property after performing an initial assessment of each unit. The contractor has also been working with the property’s maintenance crew to make repairs, while concurrently applying the least hazardous treatment options.

The presence of pests has been routinely monitored. In addition to this effort, the IPM contractor conducted several onsite trainings for the property maintenance personnel and the property’s pest control operator to improve everyone’s knowledge around pest exclusion strategies. At the same time, the EHS Measure K Outreach Coordinator has routinely met with families in each of the units to provide them with guidance on pest prevention and how they can contribute to improved pest management.

2019 PRIORITIES

- Continue to perform annual inspections with re-inspections at apartment buildings in pilot.
- Continue implementation of the North Fair Oaks IPM Demonstration Project.
- Provide technical guidance to property owners of apartment buildings who have decided to initiate an IPM Program.
- Hold a property owner workshop to address the pest control and maintenance gaps, aimed at raising awareness of IPM.
## Augmented Housing Inspection Program

### 2016-2018 Inspection Data at a Glance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Routine Inspections</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violations identified</td>
<td>2181</td>
<td>1479</td>
<td>1320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violations per Inspection</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow Up Inspections</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violations</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Top 5 Violations Cited—2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>Follow Up</th>
<th>% Abated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weather Protection</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior (Overall Maintenance)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermin/Pest Exclusion</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Exterior</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual – Fire Safety</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Top 5 Violations Cited—2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>Follow Up</th>
<th>% Abated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual – Fire Safety</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermin/Pest Exclusion</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather Protection</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoperable Vehicle</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Maintenance</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Top 5 Violations Cited—2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>Follow Up</th>
<th>% Abated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual – Fire Safety</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermin/Pest Exclusion</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Maintenance</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoperable Vehicle</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather Protection</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lead Paint Settlement Initiative

In 2000, multiple jurisdictions in California filed a lawsuit against lead paint manufacturers on behalf of the People of the State of California for their role in creating a public health crisis by selling lead paint for interior use with knowledge of the harm it causes. San Mateo County joined the lawsuit in 2007. After a trial in 2013, the court found that three of the five lead paint companies sued had created a public nuisance and endangered public health by advertising and selling lead paint for interior use knowing the harm lead causes to children. After numerous appeals by the lead paint companies, Sherwin-Williams Company, NL Industries, Inc., and ConAgra Grocery Products, the matter has now been returned to the Superior Court for final disposition and entry of judgment. The other jurisdictions involved in this case are Santa Clara County, Alameda County, the City of Oakland, the City and County of San Francisco, the City of San Diego, Los Angeles County, Monterey County, Solano County, and Ventura County.

The final judgment will require the companies to pay $409 million to the prosecuting jurisdictions to identify and abate lead paint in millions of homes, including multi-family apartment complexes. San Mateo County’s share of the judgment is approximately $15 million. The court has appointed a Receiver to disperse the funds to each jurisdiction. The ruling specifies a time limit of four years for jurisdictions to use the funds for lead abatement and outreach, with strict parameters around how the funds may be spent. Any unspent funds must be returned to the paint companies. County Health is currently working with other County partners to develop a plan and proposed structure to support how the funds will be used in compliance with the strict parameters and restrictions outlined in the judgment, for review and approval by the Receiver. County Health is laying the groundwork for an RFP, which may be awarded as early as mid-2019. The plan and any requests for disbursements will be reviewed and approved by the Receiver. County Health will enlist consultants and contractors to perform large-scale and targeted outreach and abatement of interior lead paint hazards.

Evidence-based Shift to the Healthy Families America Model

By June 2019, Family Health Services will have expanded its capacity to serve more families through evidence-based home visiting interventions by training additional existing nurses in the Nurse-Family Partnership model and training existing nurses and paraprofessionals in a newly implemented Healthy Families America program. Both models are endorsed by the California Department of Public Health as rigorously studied programs yielding positive long-term outcomes. These programs exist in multiple counties and states nationwide, allowing us to consistently measure client outcomes and compare to state and national benchmarks. Over four decades of research have proven the value of home visitation and family support for high-risk, low-income families. These home visiting programs produce positive outcomes including healthy births, improved child health and development, school readiness, reduced child abuse and neglect, enhanced parenting practices, improved maternal health and mental health, and increased family self-sufficiency. Intervening early in pregnancy and in early childhood allows a unique and critical opportunity to impact early brain development and strengthen family functioning. These prevention strategies can help mitigate Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) which are linked to chronic illness in adulthood.
Ambulance Agreement with American Medical Response (AMR)

The 9-1-1 Ambulance Agreement with American Medical Response (AMR) and a separate agreement with San Mateo County Pre-Hospital Emergency Medical Services Group (Fire-EMS Joint Powers Authority [JPA]) will be coming to the Board of Supervisors for approval. This is a continuation of the successful two-tiered emergency medical response model in San Mateo County. This model provides first-responder paramedic services by fire departments and emergency ambulance paramedic transport services through AMR.

These new agreements strengthen the successful existing partnership and emphasize high-quality clinical care and strong operational EMS service delivery. The Ambulance Agreement enables enhanced oversight and transparency about system performance by the County EMS Agency; continued funding from AMR to the Fire-EMS JPA for fire first-responder paramedic services; a separate operational agreement between the Fire-EMS JPA and AMR to define functional needs; and continued funding from AMR to support San Mateo County Public Safety Communications (PSC) for 9-1-1 Emergency Medical Dispatch. AMR will enter into a separate agreement with PSC defining its specific dispatch service needs. The Ambulance Agreement includes increased community education, such as CPR and automated external defibrillator (AED) training. The Ambulance Agreement also provides for lower ambulance base rates to third-party payors and transported patients.
Mobile Office

HSA is gearing up to roll out the new CalFresh Mobile Office. The Agency is planning for a summer public announcement and will be working with the Board of Supervisors, our service partners and community leaders to bring the Mobile Office to all regions of the county. The Mobile Office will enhance our clients’ experience by going directly to them at remote locations throughout San Mateo County, and offers them convenient times to apply for this benefit, especially for those who work non-traditional schedules. In addition to promoting CalFresh awareness and accepting intake applications, HSA will also plan for special CalFresh In A Day events where clients can apply for benefits and if approved, receive their EBT card on the same day.

HSA showcased the Mobile Office to the Board of Supervisors and County Manager’s Office on March 21. The newly-created webpage for the Mobile Office will have an easy to use request form where the community can submit their requests for our visits, a geo-map of our CalFresh cases to identify areas we wish to have a greater profile, and a calendar of where we will be in the community. Look for us shortly throughout San Mateo County.

Daly City Renovation

The HSA’s office in Daly City is our last regional office that has not received renovations. The Agency has updated all of our regional offices to have the same consistent look and feel, and intake flow for our clients. After successful negotiations with Daly City, we began the remodeling process in March. The project will remove all the asbestos in the interior walls and the contractor will update all the interior space of the building. During this remodeling project, the Resource Center will be temporarily closed to the public. HSA will still offer eligibility services in the office trailers located in the parking lot.

The project is anticipated to take a year and we look forward to offering all of our services to the Daly City community in the Spring of 2020.
Citizenship Workshop

HSA partnered with the International Institute of the Bay Area for the tenth citizenship workshop on January 26 at Hillsdale High School. Board President Carole Groom and HSA co-sponsored the event for active clients in San Mateo, Foster City, and Belmont for the workshop. Over 90 clients attended and HSA completed at least 61 applications to help clients on their journey to become U.S. citizens.

Homeless Count

On January 31, HSA led the biennial 2019 Homeless Count. HSA coordinated the effort with over 300 volunteers comprised of County employees, non-profit staff, community leaders and other volunteers to cover 160 census tracts in the county. Volunteers were organized at 10 deployment sites.

CASELOAD SNAPSHOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Number (Feb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medi-Cal</td>
<td>68,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalFresh</td>
<td>10,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &amp; Families cases</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalWORKs</td>
<td>804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Christian was released from Maple Street Correctional Facility in late 2016. He was struggling with substance abuse, addiction, and depression. This was a challenging time for Christian as a strained relationship with his family resulted with him being homeless and staying at multiple shelters while trying to get his life back in order.

Christian’s probation officer referred him to Service Connect for re-entry services. The staff immediately embraced Christian and developed a plan to help get him back on his feet. The HSA team helped get his identification, stabilized his housing, connected him with counseling to address his addiction and, when he was ready, referred him to Vocational Rehabilitation Services (VRS) for employment training at the Catering Connection. During his time at VRS, Christian described the environment as “welcoming, and warm, like a family.”

He learned new skills in a fast-paced environment. He discovered the triggers that led to his past destructive behavior and how not to repeat the same mistakes. Ultimately, Christian built trust with others, including his family.

Christian became sober in mid-2017 and through VRS began focusing on his career by looking at his strengths and the type of work for which he’s passionate. With the encouragement of County staff, Christian enrolled at the College of San Mateo with a focus in Addiction Studies. He is currently finishing his studies towards a certificate as a certified alcohol and other drug counselor.

Christian was hired by El Centro de Libertad in 2018 and his employer couldn’t be happier with him. He wanted to give back to his community after being a former client at El Centro and is helping others overcome their substance abuse. Today, he earns $19 per hour in a full-time position with benefits.

Christian has found his mission in life and strives to be a motivational speaker, sharing his past struggles and his journey in life in order to help others.
San Mateo County Parks recently introduced its I.D.E.A. Initiative to improve **inclusion, diversity, equity, and accessibility** (I.D.E.A.) in San Mateo County Parks at the February Parks and Recreation Commission meeting. The initiative follows up research conducted by San Francisco State University that found 98 percent of park visitors enjoy and benefit from their time in County parks, yet some community members are not regular users. Members of under-represented groups cited language, lack of information about parks, and access and transportation as barriers to using County parks.

At a December 2018 study session, the Parks Commission heard from guest speakers who cited the importance of community partnerships and providing programs relevant to different communities as ways to engaging more diverse park visitors. The speakers also stressed the impact that the sense of feeling welcome in parks can have, especially for young people who may have had limited outdoor experiences.

Under the leadership of the Visitor Experience team, park staff will implement the I.D.E.A. Initiative, which is comprised of five strategies. The strategies complement each other and leverage partnerships to achieve the objectives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRATEGY</th>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td>Promote San Mateo County Parks by using various methods of information and in languages of residents</td>
<td>➞ Make park brochures available in Spanish, Chinese and Tagalog. ➞ Foster relationships with youth, environment and community organizations ➞ Engage youth through social media posts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Host a variety of events that celebrate diverse communities, interests and cultures in San Mateo County</td>
<td>➞ Offer themed hikes in English and Spanish ➞ Schedule hikes during Black History Month at Sam McDonald Park ➞ Hold Dias de Los Muertos event at Friendship Park</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*table continues on next page*
### STRATEGY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRATEGY</th>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Environmental Education** | Engage youth in science-based learning and connect them with the outdoors | ➞ Provide Ranger in the Classroom program and field trips to parks in Title 1 schools  
➤ Partner with Parks Friends groups to support large field trips  
➤ Partner with Vida Verde programs with park ranger participating in educational events  |
| **Access**   | Make parks more accessible to county residents by addressing financial, transportation and physical barriers | ➞ Partner with libraries to make backpacks and visitor resources, including park passes, available for check-out  
➤ Partner with the San Mateo County Parks Foundation to sponsor “free park days” and to fund park field trip transportation for Title 1 schools  
➤ Evaluate feasibility of eliminating entrance fees at Flood County Park  
➤ Install ADA improvements where possible |
| **Staff**    | Develop a Parks staff that is culturally aware and represents the diversity of the County | ➞ Continue commitment to hiring a diverse staff  
➤ Expand bi-lingual pay  
➤ Continue Puente De La Costa Sur summer park aid program  
➤ Institute bi-lingual internship for interpretive programs and offer bilingual events |
Addition of Fitness Zone Tops List of Coyote Point Park Features

There are countless ways to experience Coyote Point Recreations Area – ride a bike on the San Francisco Bay Trail, play on any of the three playgrounds, plan a picnic, and add a visit to CuriOdyssey. The recently opened Fitness Zone at Coyote Point expands visitor options in a very healthy way.

Seven exercise stations have been installed along the Bay Trail north of the park entrance. For daily Coyote Point visitors, the stations compliment a walking, running or bike ride warm up. The Fitness Zone includes lower and upper stations, including a four-person leg press, a two-person chest press that is wheelchair accessible, and combination stations for back and arms, and abdominal and latissimus workouts. All stations accommodate more than one person, except a single-user rowing machine. Funding for the equipment came from a generous anonymous donor.

Coyote Point welcomes more than 500,000 visitors annually. Each day hundreds of nearby residents access the park using the Bay Trail and the pedestrian walkway on Peninsula Avenue.

Given the popularity of this new park feature that is in use throughout the day, the department is evaluating other suitable park locations for equipment installation.
San Mateo County Parks’ Wildfire Prevention and Safety Program in Progress

It is difficult to ignore the fact that wildfire seasons are now longer and more intense. In part, this is due to climate change impacts and past fire suppression practices. Forest health and community safety are at the cornerstone of San Mateo County Parks’ fire fuel reduction and fire preparedness efforts that will be carried out over the next several years.

San Mateo County Parks’ strategy focuses on vegetation management, which targets the removal of highly combustible plant material, and maintaining accessibility to fire trails that can support fire apparatus and become evacuation routes for the public during an emergency.

Close collaboration with California Department of Forestry and Fire Prevention (CalFire) is critical to work that has been accomplished as well as planned projects.

Completed projects:

⇒ 190 acres of vegetation and 940 hazardous trees removed at Quarry, Wunderlich, Huddart and San Pedro Valley parks
⇒ 9 miles of fire trails improved at Pillar Point Bluff, Mirada Surf, and Huddart and Wunderlich parks
⇒ $190,000 Measure K funds to remove hazardous trees and fire fuels

Planned projects:

⇒ 120 work days dedicated to:
  • Construct new fuel breaks at Quarry and Edgewood parks
  • Create shaded fuel breaks Wunderlich and Huddart parks
⇒ 50 to 60 fire trail work days
⇒ 3 new fire trails to be constructed in Quarry, San Pedro Valley and Pescadero parks
⇒ $140,000 Measure K funded masticator that efficiently clears large vegetation
T.B. Penick continued to work on the challenges that have impacted the construction activities for the project. Key issues that have impacted delivery of the project include:

1. T. B. Penick subcontractors issued a Stop Payment Notice to PDU for non-payment.
   (Amp Electric, ThyssenKrupp Elevator Corp., Alameda Electrical Distributors)

2. The subcontractors that filed the Stop Payment Notice stopped all work on the project pending receipt of payment along with the resolution of outstanding claims between T. B. Penick and their subcontractors.

The subcontractors that filed the Stop Payment Notice stopped all work on the project pending receipt of payment along with the resolution of outstanding claims between T. B. Penick and their subcontractors.

The PDU Team, T. B. Penick, and their Surety (CNA) discussed the project delivery schedule, payment to subcontractors, and the T. B. Penick overall project recovery plan. T. B. Penick prepared a detailed work plan for the completion of the new building (Phase 1) so that Cal Fire may move into the new facility, and an overall time line to finish Phase 2 by October 31, 2019 was provided.
CORDILLERAS MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

The Cordilleras design and construction team have worked collaboratively in an ongoing value engineering (VE) process that was spurred by the initial schematic design estimates submitted significantly over the project budget. Through the design and VE value engineering evolution, the PDU has reached design and budget alignment at the most recent cost presentation. Since then, there have been ongoing evolutions that continue to potentially increase costs, therefore the PDU is anticipating a new schematic design Estimate in the following weeks to come in within a +/-2% of the construction estimate. The PDU has also requested formal transmission of the $7.8 million in funds in order to fund the delay on site review, previous design iterations, continued design evolution, increased soft costs, and potential design add alternates. PDU is seeking Proposition 172 funds for a supplemental water tank, associated water tank foundation, widening of the water tank access road, and associated water tank access road improvements required by Cal Fire. The Cordilleras team has formally re-initiated the Environmental Impact Review process and completed modified geotechnical work onsite for Enhanced Option 1B, the revised package incorporating the VE options. A new project preconstruction schedule will be formulated within this quarter and updated project construction milestones will be identified. The PDU continues to coordinate with Cal Fire, the County building department, County Health, and other stakeholder entities to ensure the successful completion of the project.

The project currently houses 121 total beds with an anticipated groundbreaking date of 1st quarter 2020.
REGIONAL OPERATIONS CENTER

Installation of the roof top mechanical equipment and the ductwork continues, as well as the installation of the stone tile and metal panels on the exterior walls. Landscaping and hardscaping around the building is expected this quarter.

Most of the framing and mechanical, electrical and plumbing rough-ins within the building are complete and the closeup of walls and finishes begin. Installation of the elevator is complete; however it is not yet licensed for operation. Daily code and quality control inspections continue.
ANIMAL SHELTER

The photos show the extensive amount of plumbing and electrical conduit and reinforcing steel that is incorporated into the concrete mat slab for the new Animal Shelter.

Over 700 cubic yards of concrete were poured on the first section of the building mat slab on February 20. Previously, F & H Construction completed the underground plumbing and electrical conduit at the new Maintenance Shop followed by reinforcing steel with the concrete slab poured during the second week in February.

January 2019 brought an extensive amount of rain that continued well into the month of February 2019. With clear weather, work begins on the installation of wood frame construction, masonry and structural steel.

Based on the latest schedule prepared by F & H Construction, the Phase 1 Temporary Certificate of Occupancy is scheduled to be issued in February 2020. The final completion date for Phase 2 is currently scheduled for May 2020.
The COB3 Design Team and the CMR Team have collaboratively conducted a scheduling workshop to plan out the project schedule in detail, including the strategy for permitting and bidding to clearly define the milestone deliverables. The Design Development phase is slightly extended to mid-April to accommodate some design changes in response to user input as well as other design refinements.

The Parking Committee meets monthly to discuss the strategy for temporary parking during construction. Participants include representatives from BOS, PDU, SO, OOS, DPW, BD, Probation and Employee & Labor Relations. The last meeting was held on January 30, 2019 to review the assignment of temporary parking at the existing garage and the nearby Sequoia Station. Stack parking is also being planned to increase the parking capacity.
The Technology Committee is researching the option of using robots for way-finding and information hub to supplement the functions at the Government Center. The PDU+ISD Team visited Softbank Robotics in San Francisco in late January to see how Pepper the robot works. Another visit is scheduled for Supervisor Warren Slocum and CIO Jon Walton to look at the functional demonstration that are applicable to the County Center.

SAN MATEO COUNTY HEALTH CAMPUS UPGRADE

The Nursing Wing Ground Floor Renovation started December 2018 and is anticipated to be completed by December 2019. The Central Plant renovation permit is now approved and construction is anticipated to begin February 2019 and completed by November 2019.

Construction for the new Administration Building is expected to begin May 2019 and completed by August 2020. Link Building construction is anticipated to begin January 2021 and completed by December 2021. MRI Trailer and Dental Van relocation construction began in December 2018. The MRI Trailer and Dental Van will be relocated by April 2019. DPW facilities engineering relocation work started in January 2019 and will be completed by April 2019.

The San Mateo County Health Campus will be under substantial construction through December 2021. To address the parking shortage during construction, an on-site valet assisted parking service in combination with off-site parking began November 1, 2018.

Nursing Wing Ground Floor Renovation
LA THROP HOUSE RELOCATION

The parking lots next to the Lathrop House and the Museum closed on January 18 and February 19, 2019. Shrubs and branches were trimmed and cut on February 4, 2019 to make way for the relocation. The house is now slated to move to its new location in late April. The photo below shows how the house will look in its new home.

SANCHEZ ADOBE

The County of San Mateo Parks and Recreation Department enlisted the assistance of the PDU team in developing a new Visitors’ Center for the Sanchez Adobe Park located at 1000 Linda Mar Blvd, Pacifica, CA. The PDU, in collaboration with the Parks and Recreation Department, is providing project management oversight for the project.

The project consists of a 48’x60’ modular building with a budget of approximately 450K (~$150/sq. ft.). The site has strong historical and archeological significance (it’s estimated to have around 137 individuals buried around the site). The Parks Department is in charge of providing all utilities for the new Visitor’s Center. As they were excavating and trenching they found human remains on Sept 6. All work came to a halt and the Parks department proceeded to contact the archeologist (Basin Research Associates) and the Indian Tribe Representative (ITR). The Archeologist together with the ITR worked on the reburial of the remains and the Parks department resumed work on Monday, Sep. 24.

The building fabrication was completed in November 2018 and estimated project completion is third quarter 2019.
Design meetings with the Health System stakeholders are in progress and design development and massing studies are underway. The CEQA consultant selection process has begun and the plan is to onboard the selected firm by the end of February.

The PDU is evaluating the possibility of incorporating an additional floor to the building design to accommodate the Human Services Agency employees who currently occupy the facility at 1487 Huntington in South San Francisco. This potential addition will be further vetted.
An agreement prepared by the PDU team and County Counsel was signed by the Fire Brigade and Deputy County Manager Iliana Rodriguez on June 19, 2018. This agreement authorizes the dispersion of project funds (with PDU concurrence) to the Fire Brigade at a specified rate and at various project milestones. The funding (along with a funding commitment from the Fire Brigade) will cover survey, permitting, and engineering costs for the water line extension, the architectural design for the remodel, and associated permitting and construction costs.

The Fire Brigade obtained authorization on Sep. 13 from the La Honda – Pescadero Unified School District to share water access from the Cuesta La Honda Guild. The Brigade has contracted with BKF Engineers of Redwood City to prepare design specifications and plans for the water line for submission to the County for permit approval.
The PDU team has engaged BKF Engineers to perform site exploration efforts at the Pescadero High School site. BKF conducted percolation testing to allow it and the future station architect/engineer team to design a septic system for the fire station building.

A schematic view of the high school site is included below. This shows a generic layout of the station building for planning/permitting purposes; the actual station may have a different plan. The test well is the small circle north of the station building and southwest of the high school parking lot.
Computer Aided Dispatch Update

Public Safety Communications’ transition to the new Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system is underway, with implementation and training beginning the first week of March and including an expert committee of client agency personnel from fire, law and emergency services, and of course, dispatchers. The vendor, Versaterm, will be onsite all week.

The process will involve sixteen groups specializing in areas such as configuration, mapping, policies and procedures, call types, outreach and more.

Regional Operations Center (ROC)

The ROC construction continues at a clip towards completion. Most of the interior walls are installed and are being painted. All of the new Data Center racks have been installed and the ergonomic dispatch consoles are scheduled to arrive in April. Under the new chair of the transition committee, Judy Husary, our department is working on procedures for occupancy this summer.
Training, Staffing and Personnel Update

PSC continues high-priority efforts to hire and train new call takers and dispatchers. The department is making final offers to four new dispatcher/call takers and wrapping up our latest Law Call Taking Academy before we pause for the implementation of the new CAD system and our upcoming move to the new Regional Operations Center.

The recently adopted labor agreement between the County and AFSCME provided increases for salary and benefits to 9-1-1 dispatchers, as well as agreements to study shift scheduling changes, wellness focus and a dress code for the department.

Multiple training sessions were held over the past several months in support of our participation in the Program for Resilience in Modern Emergency Response (PRiMER) initiative, including Peer Support and Resiliency for Dispatchers. These trainings provided practical tools for combatting stress, promoting wellness and asking for, and receiving, help specific to the needs of PSC professionals, who deal with a high volume of stressful calls where they often do not learn the outcome.
Storm Call Volume Comparison

January’s storms created a nearly 50% call volume increase in 911 and emergency medical call volume to the Communications Center. Here is a graph showing the comparison between January 16, 2018 and January 16, 2019. The team managed it all with skill, professionalism and heart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>1,454</td>
<td>2,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mirada Road – Miramar Area

Mirada Road in the Miramar Area is adjacent to the Pacific Ocean. “Rip rap” or large diameter boulders have been in place for many years to help protect the bluff from coastal erosion between Magellan Avenue and Arroyo de en Medio. In recent years, the Department of Public Works (DPW) has placed additional rip rap at select locations adjacent to the roadway.

Additionally, a concentrated erosion protection effort near the south end of the County’s maintained road segment near Arroyo de en Medio was necessary to protect the bank near the existing pedestrian/bicycle bridge over Arroyo de en Medio.

Replacing the existing bridge on the south end of Mirada Road is a high priority. The steel pedestrian bridge has weathered significantly and must be replaced within the next two to three years or be closed for public safety reasons. Design work continues on the bridge replacement and should be completed this summer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title &amp; Description</th>
<th>Total Project Funding</th>
<th>Client / Requesting Party</th>
<th>Project Milestones</th>
<th>Original Target Date</th>
<th>Updated Target Date</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Project Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Mirada Road – Miramar Area | ACRE | | • Design  
• Permitting  
• Construction Commence  
• Construction Complete | Jul 2019  
Jun 2019  
Oct 2019  
Jan 2020 | | | |
Maple Street Homeless Shelter Expansion

DPW conducted an infrastructure evaluation of the former Women’s Work Furlough modular building (behind the shelter) to identify potential uses for the space. Representatives from DPW, Building, HSA and Health were involved as part of this evaluation process, which ultimately lead to the decision to modify the modular building for use as an inclement weather shelter.

The project construction phase has been completed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title &amp; Description</th>
<th>Total Project Funding</th>
<th>Client / Requesting Party</th>
<th>Project Milestones</th>
<th>Original Target Date</th>
<th>Updated Target Date</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Project Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Maple Street Homeless Shelter Expansion Phase 2 Modular Improvements | • $300k | HSA | • Construction Completion  
• Infrastructure Eval ADA White trailers | Jan 2018 | April 2018 | Completed | In construction |
Old Courthouse Improvements

The project entails restoration of the existing exterior sandstone envelope, decorative architectural features, paint wood doors and windows. The project is currently in construction and should be finished in May 2019 subject to favorable weather conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title &amp; Description</th>
<th>Total Project Funding</th>
<th>Client / Requesting Party</th>
<th>Project Milestones</th>
<th>Original Target Date</th>
<th>Updated Target Date</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Project Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCH Exterior Envelope Restoration</td>
<td>$2,158,965</td>
<td>FCIS Program</td>
<td>In Construction</td>
<td>12/31/18</td>
<td>1/31/19</td>
<td>5/8/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FUTURE DIRECTION

⇒ **Card Key Replacement and Countywide Security Upgrades**

This is a multi-year project to enhance and standardize most security access systems across County facilities. This year, DPW took over administration of the card key program and all associated security systems. The first step is to replacing approximately 9,000 card keys currently in service with a new card that has a higher level of encryption. Secondly, all card readers must be replaced. The equipment has reached the end of its useful life and in many cases is no longer supported by the vendor. The County currently has three vendors that supply card readers. DPW is preparing an RFP to replace all readers and standardize equipment under one vendor. Unfortunately, there is not a centralized inventory of all readers so DPW is currently working with Johnson Controls to document all known card readers to be included in the RFP package.

⇒ **Radio Shop Relocation/Pine Street Warehouse Reconstruction**

This project entails either renovating or reconstructing the building currently occupied by Ag/Weights/Measures on Chestnut Street in Redwood to house the Radio Shop. The Radio Shop was formerly located where the new ROC building is being constructed at County Center and temporarily moved to a leased property in Redwood City. DPW’s plan is to renovate or replace the Chestnut Street building for the Radio Shop’s permanent home. In parallel, DPW is also working on replacing the structurally deficient warehouse building on Pine Street in Redwood City. Once reconstructed, this building will house Ag/Weights/Measures with warehouse space roughly equivalent to the former building.

⇒ **Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency Agency**

Now that your Board and C/CAG have approved continuing efforts to amend the duties of the existing SMC Flood Control District (SMC FCD) to include sea level rise and coastal erosion, DPW is in the process of outreaching to cities to get their feedback and concurrence with the concept. DPW has contracted with Larry Patterson, former city manager of San Mateo to assist in the effort. Over the next two months, Patterson and DPW Director Jim Porter will be presenting the FSLRRA proposal to all 20 cities seeking their concurrence to participate and provide start-up funding for the first three to five years of agency operations. To date we’ve presented to three city and town councils and received positive feedback.

In parallel, county counsel has drafted a modification to the State Water Code chapter that originally created the SMC FCD for approval by the state legislature. We have presented the draft legislation to Assemblyman Kevin Mullin, Assemblyman Marc Berman, and Senator Jerry Hill and all are supportive. Assemblyman Mullin has agreed to sponsor the bill, now known as AB 825. We expect the bill to approved by the legislature this summer, signed by the Governor in September, and become effective on January 1, 2020.

---

“2019 Outstanding Environmental Resource Document” award from the Association of Environmental Professionals Award for County of San Mateo’s Routine Maintenance Program Manual.

“Efficient and Sustainable Bridge Maintenance, Construction, and Reconstruction” award from the County Engineers Association of California for Crystal Springs Dam Replacement for 2019.
2018 Highlights

**Community Connections**

**Special Olympics**
The Sheriff’s Office participated in Tip-A-Cop, Polar Plunge and Torch Run, raising over **$36,500** for Special Olympics Northern California.

**Coffee with Deputies**
The Sheriff’s Office hosted **13** Coffee with Deputies events sharing coffee and conversation with over **175** community members.

**Walk like MADD**
The Sheriff’s Office raised over **$6,500** for MADD (Mothers Against Drunk Drivers) to support victims of drunk driving accidents.

**Heart Walk Fundraiser**
The Sheriff’s Office raised over **$6,000** for the American Heart Association.

---

**Community Programs**

**SAL (Sheriff’s Activities League)**
The Sheriff’s Activities League operates in **12 chapters serving more than 11,000** youth annually. SAL’s key initiatives are Building the Bond between Deputies, Kids and Communities; Academic Achievement; Health and Wellness; and Leadership Development and Mentoring.

**CARON (Community Alliance to Revitalize Our Neighborhood)**
The CARON program promotes healthy communities by cultivating strong and close relationships between residents and law enforcement. In 2018, CARON reached more than **6,000** community members.
Calls for Service: 124,236
(5% increase over 5 years)

K-9 Unit:
Request for K-9 Service: 82
Building Searches: 26
Suspect Apprehensions: 42

Traffic Safety:
- Traffic Collision Reports: 534
- Traffic Citations: 12,474
- DUI Arrests: 397
- Injury Collisions: 194
- Non-Injury Collisions: 337
- Fatal Collisions: 3

Investigations:
- New Cases Assigned: 5,017
- Cases Forwarded to the District Attorney’s Office: 4,380
- Cases Investigated & Closed: 2,451
- Missing Persons Reported: 349
- Missing Persons Closed: 278

Parking Enforcement:
Parking Citations: 40,604

Gun Buybacks:
- Gun Buyback Events: 2
- Firearms Collected: 867

Records:
- Online Reports Accepted: 608
- Public Records Act Requests: 56
- Records Counter Contacts: 2,451
- Number of Restraining Orders Entered or Updated: 6,556

Property:
- Items Booked into Property: 20,254
- Items Returned to Owners: 1,069
- Fingerprint Services Provided: 2,906
- Controlled Substances and Paraphernalia Destroyed: 900 lbs
2019 Strategic Goals

1: Public Safety
   Goal: Continue to focus on effective use of data analytics, Intelligence Led Policing, and technology to enhance public safety.

2: Community Programs
   Goal: Maintain strong community programs and services that continue to strengthen relationships and build trust between the Sheriff’s Office and the communities we serve.

3: Communications
   Goal: Enhance communications with the communities we serve through social media and by producing a semi-annual magazine for the community.
   Projected Completion Date: April 2019

4: Recruitment
   Goal: Continue a progressive recruitment program that shares the values and vision of the community and the Sheriff’s Office to attract top quality candidates.
2019 First Quarter Awards

Heroism Award
Detective Patrick Taylor

Valor Award
Deputy Christopher Armanino
Deputy John Barrett
Deputy Andre Bray
March 29, 2019 Legislative Update:
The first year of the 2019-20 State Legislative Session is off and running with over 2,800 bills introduced by lawmakers as of the February 22 bill introduction deadline. This is approximately 20 bills per legislator.

Attached is a preliminary list of bills that have been identified as having a potential impact on County operations and programs. County staff are still reviewing these measures and others for their potential impact(s) to the County. A more complete list of bills will be provided to your Board in the County Manager’s next quarterly report.

* Indicates that a position letter has been sent on behalf of the Board of Supervisors
** Indicates that a position letter has been sent by the California State Association of Counties and/or the Urban Counties of California that reflects the County’s position on the bill.

County Sponsored or Priority State Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB 738 (Mullin)</td>
<td><strong>Regional housing needs allocation</strong></td>
<td>Sponsor*</td>
<td>A-H &amp; C.D. (4/10) A-L Gov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would establish a San Mateo County pilot allowing its jurisdictions to report on, and receive credit for, contributing housing funds to another jurisdiction that results in the issuance of entitlements, building permits, and certificates of occupancy in that jurisdictions on their annual Housing Element Annual Progress Reports, if both entities agree. By providing formal recognition for cooperative efforts across jurisdictional boundaries, the measure aims to deploy local housing funds more strategically and produce housing more quickly in the County.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 825 (Mullin)</td>
<td><strong>San Mateo County Flood Control District</strong></td>
<td>Sponsor*</td>
<td>A-L Gov (4/24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would replace the existing San Mateo County Flood Control District with the “Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency Agency” beginning January 1, 2020 to enable additional water-related activities and jurisdictional areas. Would also change the governance from the Board of Supervisors to a seven-member board consisting of five city and two Board members, and clarify funding/financing authorities to reflect current state regulations/constitutional restrictions. The Agency’s mission and role would be to address sea level rise, flooding, coastal erosion, and large-scale stormwater infrastructure improvements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Number &amp; Author</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1704 (Mullin)</td>
<td><strong>All-mailed ballots elections</strong> Would make technical, non-substantive changes to current law that conduct any election as an all-mailed ballot.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Print</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 782 (Berman)</td>
<td><strong>California Environmental Quality Act: exemption: public agencies: property transfers</strong> Would exempt from CEQA the acquisition, sale or other transfer of property by a public agency for certain purposes, or the funding of that acquisition, sale or other transfer by a public agency, if the public agency conditions those transactions on compliance with CEQA before making physical changes to the transferred property.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>A—Appr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 452 (Mullin)</td>
<td><strong>Childcare: early childhood programs: grant</strong> Would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that appropriates between $25M and $35M to create a grant program, under the California Child Care Initiative Project, to develop childcare facilities that serve children from birth to three years of age.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A-Hum. S (4/9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 337 (Skinner)</td>
<td><strong>Child support</strong> Would require that all child support collected in a month be paid to the recipient and prohibit that it be considered income or resources and deducted from the amount of aid the family would otherwise be eligible.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>S—Hum. S. H (4/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1091 (Jones-Sawyer)</td>
<td><strong>Child support: suspension</strong> Would extend and modify an existing program that allows for the suspension of child support payments when an individual is incarcerated or involuntarily institutionalized for more than 90 days.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>A—Appr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1092 (Jones-Sawyer)</td>
<td><strong>Child support: enforcement</strong> Would prohibit the Department of Child Support Services and local child support agencies from charging interest on the principal amount of a child support delinquency that is owed at a rate higher than is required by federal law.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>A—Appr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Number &amp; Author</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| SB 29 (Durazo) | **Medi-Cal: eligibility**  
Would extend full-scope Medi-Cal benefits to undocumented adults age 19 and above who are otherwise eligible for those benefits but for their immigration status. | Watch | S—Appr. |
| SB 175 (Pan) | **Healthcare coverage: minimum essential coverage**  
Would require California residents, and their dependents, to carry health insurance and impose a penalty for failure to maintain minimum essential coverage. | Watch | S—Health (4/10) |
| AB 4 (Arambula) | **Medi-Cal: eligibility**  
Would extend eligibility for full-scope Medi-Cal benefits to individuals of all ages, regardless of their immigration status. | Under review | A—Health (4/9) |
| AB 70 (Berman) | **Mental health in schools**  
Would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would support youth mental health in schools. | Under review | A—Print |
| AB 377 (Garcia) | **Microenterprise home kitchen operations**  
Would modify the conditions for a city and county to permit microenterprise home kitchen operations within its jurisdiction, require an enforcement agency to annually report specified information about the operations within its jurisdiction, and modify the food safety standards applicable to microenterprise home kitchen operations, among other things. | Under review | A—Health |
| AB 414 (Bonta) | **Healthcare coverage: minimum essential coverage**  
Would require California residents, and their dependents, to carry health insurance and impose a penalty for failure to maintain minimum essential coverage. | Watch | A—Health |
| AB 512 (Ting) | **Medi-Cal: specialty mental health services**  
Would require each mental health plan to prepare a cultural competency assessment plan to address disparities in access, utilization, and outcomes by race, ethnicity, language, sexual orientation, gender identity, and immigration status. | Under review | A—Health |
| AB 577 (Eggman) | **Medi-Cal: maternal mental health**  
Would extend Medi-Cal postpartum care for up to one year beginning on the last day of the pregnancy for an eligible individual diagnosed with a maternal mental health condition. | Under review | A—Appr. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CASA Compact Element</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCA 1 (Allen)</td>
<td><strong>Public housing projects</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would repeal Article 34 of the State Constitution that requires an election to approve housing where more than 50% of the units are subsidized.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>S—Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 4 (McGuire)</td>
<td><strong>Housing</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would create a streamlined approval process for eligible projects within ½ mile of fixed or ferry terminals in cities of 50K residents or more in smaller counties and in all urban areas in counties with over 1 million residents.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>S—Housing (4/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 5 (Beall)</td>
<td><strong>Local-State Sustainable Investment Incentive Program</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would establish the Local-State Sustainable Investment Incentive Program, which would be administered by the Sustainable Investment Incentive Committee, and would authorize cities, counties, JPAs, IFDS, and others to participate in the program. Would also require the Sustainable Investment Incentive Committee to issue an order directing the county auditor to reduce the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenue otherwise required to be contributed to the county’s ERAF from the applicant by an annual reduction amount approved.</td>
<td>Element 9: Funding &amp; Financing &amp; Element 10: Regional Housing Enterprise</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>S—Housing (4/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 6 (Beall &amp; McGuire)</td>
<td><strong>Residential development: available land</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to furnish the Department of General Services with a list of local lands suitable and available for residential development as identified by a local government as part the housing element of its general plan.</td>
<td>Element 7: Permit Streamlining, Financial Incentives</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>S—Housing (4/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 9 (Beall)</td>
<td><strong>Income taxes: low-income housing credits: allocation: sale</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would make changes to the sale of low-income housing tax credits between partnerships.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>S—Gov &amp; F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 13 (Wieckowski)</td>
<td><strong>Accessory dwelling units</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would enact legislation to reduce impact fees and other existing barriers for homeowners seeking to create ADUs for the purpose of creating additional residential housing within their neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Element 4: ADUs</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>S—Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Number &amp; Author</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>CASA Compact Element</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| SB 18 (Skinner)      | **Keep Californians Housed Act**  
Would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to develop a public guide to all state laws pertaining to landlords and landlord-tenant relations, and survey all cities regarding the resources and programs they provide for tenants. | Element 3: Rent Assistance, Free Legal Counsel | Under review | S—Housing (4/2) |
| SB 50 (Wiener)       | **Planning and zoning: housing development: equitable communities incentive**  
Would require a city or county to grant upon request an equitable communities incentive when a development proponent seeks and agrees to construct a residential development that satisfies specified criteria, including but not limited to being a job-rich housing project or transit-rich housing project. | Element 5: Maximum Zoning Near Transit | Under review | S—Housing (4/2) |
| SB 128 (Beall)       | **Enhanced infrastructure financing districts: bonds: issuance**  
Would remove the public vote requirement for bonds issued by an Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District. | | Under review | A—Desk |
| SB 330 (Skinner)     | **Housing Crisis Act of 2019**  
Would allow a city or county to prohibit the commercial use of land zoned for residential use consistent with the authority of the entity, and apply these prohibitions to any zoning ordinance adopted or amended on or after January 1, 2018. | Element 6: “Good Government” Reforms/Housing Approvals | Under review | S—Gov & F |
| SB 573 (Chang)       | **Homeless Emergency Aid program: funding**  
Would make an annual appropriation of $250 million from the General Fund to the Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP) administered by the Homeless Coordinating and Financing Council. | Support** | Under review | |
| SB 384 (Morrell)     | **Housing**  
Would establish specified procedures for the administrative and judicial review of the environmental review and approvals granted for housing development projects with 50 or more residential units. | | Under review | S—E.Q. (4/10) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CASA Compact Element</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **SB 744 (Caballero)** | **Planning and zoning: California Environmental Quality Act: permanent supportive housing: No Place Like Home Program**  
Would require a lead agency to prepare concurrently the record of proceeding for a No Place Like Home project with the performance of the environmental review of the project if that project is not eligible for approval as a use by right. | | Under review | S—Housing (4/2) |
| **ACA 1 (Aguiar-Curry)** | **Local government financing: affordable housing and public infrastructure: voter approval**  
Would authorize cities and counties to levy taxes by a 55% voter approval rate for purposes of funding the construction, rehabilitation, or replacement of public infrastructure or affordable housing. | **Element 9: Funding & Financing** | Under review | A—Appr. |
| **AB 10 (Chiu)** | **Income taxes: credits low-income housing: farmworker housing**  
Would increase the state Low Income Housing Tax Credit by $500M. | **Element 9: Funding & Financing** | Under review | A—Rev. & Tax |
| **AB 11 (Chiu)** | **Community Redevelopment Law**  
Would authorize cities and counties, or two or more cities acting jointly, to propose the formation of an affordable housing and infrastructure agency by adoption of a resolution of intention that meets specified requirements. | **Element 9: Funding & Financing** | Under review | A—H. & C.D. (4/10) |
| **AB 36 (Bloom)** | **Affordable housing: rental prices**  
Would allow cities to rent stabilization measures to rental units older than 10 years and for single family rentals and condos. | **Element 2: Rent Cap** | Under review | A—H. & C.D. |
| **AB 68 (Ting)** | **Land use: accessory dwelling units**  
Would prohibit an ordinance from imposing requirements on minimum lot size, or coverage, or floor area ratio, and would prohibit an ordinance from establishing size requirements for ADUs that do not permit at least 80 sq. ft. unit of at least 16 ft. to be constructed. | **Element 4: ADUs** | Under review | A—H. & C.D. (4/3) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CASA Compact Element</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| AB 69 (Ting)         | **Land use: accessory dwelling units**  
Would authorize the Department of Housing and Community Development to review local ordinances for compliance with state law and require local agencies to consider the department’s findings so as to amend their ordinances to comply with state law or explain why they do not via resolution. | Element 4: ADUs      | Under review    | A—H. & C.D. (4/3)       |
| AB 192 (Mathis)      | **California Integrated Community Living Program**  
Would create the “California Integrated Community Living Program” to provide permanent supportive housing options for regional center clients, to be funded using moneys from the lease of or other revenue generating agreement for any state developmental center property. |                       | Under review    | A—Appr.                |
| AB 264 (Melendez)    | **Income taxes: credits: development impact fees**  
Would create an income tax credit for housing development fees to ease the financial burdens placed on builders for new housing projects. |                       | Under review    | A—Rev. & Tax           |
| AB 553 (Melendez)    | **High-speed rail bonds: housing**  
Would require redirection of the unspent high-speed rail bonds for housing. |                       | Under review    | A—Trans. (4/1)         |
| AB 724 (Wicks)       | **Rental property data registry**  
Would create a data that collects tenant and housing data from landlords and property owners. |                       | Watch           | A—H. & C.D. (4/10)     |
| AB 725 (Wicks)       | **General plans: housing element: above moderate-income housing**  
Would prohibit a jurisdiction from allocating more than 20 percent of its’ share of regional housing need for above moderate-income housing to sites with zoning restricted to single-family development. |                       | Watch           | A—H. & C.D.            |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CASA Compact Element</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| AB 816 (Quirk-Silva) | **California Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool Program**  
Would establish the California Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool Program within HCD for the purpose of making grants available to applicants, including cities, counties and CoCs for eligible activities, including rental assistance, operating subsidies in new and existing affordable or supportive housing units, and specified outreach activities. Would continuously appropriate $450M from the state General Fund every fiscal year for this purpose. | | Under review | A—H. & C.D. (4/24) |
| AB 1481 (Bonta) | **Tenancy termination: just cause**  
(spot bill) Would prohibit a lessor of residential property for a term not specified by the parties, from terminating the lease without just cause stated in the written notice to terminate. | **Element 1:** Just Cause Eviction | Watch | A—Jud. |
| AB 1482 (Chiu) | **Tenancy: rent caps**  
(spot bill) Would cap annual rent increases, but not apply to local ordinances or units already under rent control | **Element 2:** Rent Cap | Watch | A—H. & C.D. |
| AB 1483 (Grayson) | **Housing development project applications: reporting**  
Would impose reporting requirements for cities and counties on mitigation fees and pending developments to HCD and MTC. | **Element 6:** “Good Government” Reforms/Housing Approvals | Under review | A—H. & C.D. |
| AB 1484 (Grayson) | **Mitigation Fee Act: housing developments**  
Would prohibit local agencies from imposing a fee on a housing development project unless the type and amount of the fee is specifically listed on its website. | **Element 6:** “Good Government” Reforms/Housing Approvals | Under review | A—H. & C.D. |
### Housing (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CASA Compact Element</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 1485</strong> (Wicks)</td>
<td><strong>Housing development: incentives</strong></td>
<td>Element 7: Permit Streamlining, Financial Incentives</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—H. &amp; C.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would, until January 1, 2035, provide specified financial incentives that ensure financial feasibility to a development proponent of a residential housing development in the 9-county San Francisco Bay area region that dedicates at least 20% of the development’s housing units to households making no more than 150% of the area median income. The incentives provided to those developments include an exemption from CEQA, a cap on fees imposed under the Mitigation Fee Act, a density bonus of 35%, parking reductions, and a waiver of other locally imposed requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 1486</strong> (Ting)</td>
<td><strong>Local agencies: surplus land</strong></td>
<td>Element 8: Public Lands</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—L. Gov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would expand the definition of “local agency,” public notification and prioritization requirements when disposing of public lands.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 1487</strong> (Chiu)</td>
<td><strong>San Francisco Bay area: housing development financing</strong></td>
<td>Element 9: Funding &amp; Financing</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—H. &amp; C.D. (4/10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would establish the Housing Alliance for the Bay Area and provide it with authority to raise revenue, subject to voter approval, and allocate funds throughout the Bay Area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 1568</strong> (McCarty)</td>
<td><strong>General plans: housing element: production report: withholding of transportation funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>A—H. &amp; C.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would require HCD to review counties’ and cities’ housing production reports from June 30, 2022 through June 30, 2051 and determine whether a city or county has met its applicable minimum housing production goal for that reporting period. If a city or county are not compliant with the minimum housing production goal, the State Controller is authorized to withhold transportation funds and keep them in an escrow account until HCD certifies they are in compliance.</td>
<td></td>
<td>A—Trans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Housing (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CASA Compact Element</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB 1706 (Quirk)</td>
<td><strong>Housing development: incentives</strong></td>
<td><strong>Element 7: Permit Streamlining, Financial Incentives</strong></td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—H. &amp; C.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would, until January 1, 2035, provide specified financial incentives that ensure financial feasibility to a development proponent of a residential housing development in the 9-county San Francisco Bay area region that dedicates at least 20% of the development’s housing units to households making no more than 150% of the area median income.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Human and Social Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB 48 (Wiener)</td>
<td><strong>Homelessness: right to shelter</strong></td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>S—Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would create a streamlined approval process for low-barrier interim shelter interventions that connect people experiencing homelessness to services and permanent supportive solutions and make changes with regards to zoning of shelters.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 246 (Mathis)</td>
<td><strong>State highways: property leases</strong></td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Trans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would authorize the Department of Transportation to offer a lease on a right of first refusal basis of any airspace under a freeway, or real property acquired for highway purposes, located in a disadvantaged community, that is not excess property to the city or county in which the disadvantaged community is located for purposes of an emergency shelter or feeding program, or for park, recreational, or open-space purposes for a rental amount of $1 per month.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 531 (Friedman)</td>
<td><strong>Foster youth: housing</strong></td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Appr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would authorize a resource family, foster family home, certified foster home, approved relative caregiver or nonrelative extended family member of a participant to be automatically converted to a host family without additional certification.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 995 (Ting)</td>
<td><strong>Transitional Housing Program—Plus</strong></td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Hum. S. (4/9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would expand the Transitional Housing Program-Plus by making transitional housing available to any former foster youth who exited from the foster care system on or after their 16th birthday and who meets other requirements of the program.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 944 (Quirk)</td>
<td><strong>CalWORKs: sponsored noncitizen: indigence exception</strong></td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Appr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would, to the extent permitted by federal law, require a county to renew the 12-month exception period for additional 12-month periods for a sponsored application for, or recipient of, CalWORKs benefits who is deemed to meet the indigence require, as specified.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Legislative Update

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SB 281</strong> (Wiener)</td>
<td><strong>Cow Palace: Joint Powers Authority</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would establish the Cow Palace Authority for the purposes of managing, developing, or disposing of the real property known as the Cow Palace; requires the state to transfer the Cow Palace to this new entity; and bans gun show on the premises.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>S—G. &amp; O (4/9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 1392</strong> (Mullin)</td>
<td><strong>State Lands Commission: grant of trust lands: City of Redwood City</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would grant and convey trust to the City of Redwood City all of the rights, title, and interests of the state, acquired and held by the state acting by and through the State Lands Commission to lands known as Maple Street Site.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Nat. Res. (4/8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Local Government

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SB 139</strong> (Allen)</td>
<td><strong>Independent redistricting commissions</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would require the establishment of an independent redistricting commission for counties with populations above 250,000 by March 1, 2021.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>S—E. &amp; C.A. (4/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SB 144</strong> (Mitchell)</td>
<td><strong>Fees: criminal administrative fees</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would repeal the authority of counties to collect probation, restitution order, drug testing, public defender, and a range of other types of fees.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>S—Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SB 287</strong> (Nielsen)</td>
<td><strong>Commission on State Mandates: test claims: filing date</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would specify that for purposes of filing a test claim based on the date of incurring costs, “within 12 months” means by June 30 of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which increased costs were first incurred by the test claim.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Appr. (4/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 400</strong> (Lackey)</td>
<td><strong>State mandates</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would extend to March 1 the deadline for a local agency or school district to file an annual reimbursement claim detailing state-mandated costs.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—L. Gov.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 849</strong> (Bonta)</td>
<td><strong>Election: local redistricting</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would recast provisions associated with redistricting practices of county boards of education, school districts, community college districts, counties, general law and charter cities, and special districts.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—E. &amp; R. (4/10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Local Government (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| AB 931 (Boerner Horvath) | **State and local boards and commissions: representation: appointments**  
Would require a minimum number of women members of state and local boards and commissions. For boards and commissions with five or more members, a minimum of 50 percent must be women. For boards and commissions with four or fewer members, at least one member must be a woman. | Under review | A—L. Gov. (4/3)  
A—A. & A. R. |
| AB 1640 (Boerner Horvath) | **Local government finance: budget reserves**  
Would require local governments, by September 1, 2020, and annually thereafter, to submit a written report to the State Controller’s Office as to how it plans to spend its budget reserves on specific priorities over a five-year period. The specific priorities include, mental and behavioral health services, affordable housing, homelessness, foster youth programs, LGBTQ+ centers, veterans services, special needs youth and adult services, and in-home supportive services. | Under review | A—L. Gov. |

### Public Safety and Justice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SB 36 (Hertzberg) | **Pretrial release: risk assessment tools**  
Would require each county to maintain specified data for each individual that undergoes an assessment using a risk assessment tool. | Under review | S—Appr. (4/8) |
| SB 42 (Skinner) | **The Getting Home Safe Act**  
Would require the sheriff to among other things, to make release standards, processes and schedules of a county jail available to the public and incarcerated persons. Would also provide a person, upon release from jail, the right to request they be assisted in entering a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program and require the jail to provide transport. Would also provide persons that might be released in off hours the option to voluntarily stay in the jail for an additional 16 hours or be offered free transport to a location of the person’s choosing. | Under review | S—Pub. S. (4/9) |
| SB 221 (Hill) | **Firearms: law enforcement agencies: agency firearm accounting**  
Would require law enforcement agency by January 1, 2021 to adopt a written procedure to account for firearms that are owned, acquired, maintained, sold, loaned, lost, stolen from, or in any way possessed by that agency; and require employees to report to the agency lost or stolen firearms owned by the agency within 5 days of the date known. | Under review | S—Pub. S. (4/2) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB 230 (Caballero)</td>
<td><strong>Law enforcement: use of deadly force: training policies</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would require each law enforcement agency to maintain a policy that provides guidelines on the use of force, utilizing de-escalation techniques and other alternatives to force when feasible, specific guidelines for the application of deadly force, and factors for evaluating and reviewing all use of force incidents, and make these policies accessible to the public.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>S—Pub. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 694 (Stone)</td>
<td><strong>Juvenile halls: wireless communication devices</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would clarify that “local correctional facility” includes juvenile halls, camps, and ranches are included in the definition of locations where unauthorized persons (minors in these facilities) are not allowed to possess electronic devices.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>S—Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 45 (Stone)</td>
<td><strong>Inmates: medical care: fees</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would prohibit a sheriff from charging a fee for an inmate-initiated medical visit of an inmate of a county jail, and also prohibit the charging of a fee for durable medical equipment or supplies, as defined.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Pub. S. (4/9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 61 (Ting)</td>
<td><strong>Gun violence restraining orders</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would authorize an employer, a cow-worker, or an employee of a secondary or postsecondary school that the person has attended in the last 6 months to file a petition for an ex parte, one-year, or renewed gun violence restraining order.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>A—Pub. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 276 (Friedman)</td>
<td><strong>Firearms: storage</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would require a person who is 18 years of age or older and who is the owner, lessee, renter, or other legal occupant of a residence, while that person is outside that residence to ensure that any firearm that person own or controls is securely stored against theft or unauthorized access.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>A—Pub. S. (4/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 310 (Santiago)</td>
<td><strong>Trial Jury Selection and Management Act</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would add probation, parole and correctional officers as defined by PCC Section 830.5(a) and (b) to the list of peace officers exempt from criminal jury duty.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Pub. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 392 (Weber)</td>
<td><strong>Peace officers: deadly force</strong>&lt;br&gt;Would authorize police officers to use deadly force only when it is necessary to prevent imminent and serious bodily injury or death—that is, if, given the totality of the circumstances, there was no reasonable alternative to using deadly force, including using warnings, verbal persuasion, or other nonlethal methods of resolution or de-escalation.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Pub. S. (4/9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Number &amp; Author</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 524 (Bigelow)</td>
<td>Peace officers: deputy sheriffs: Would add a select group of deputy sheriffs in the Counties of Mono and San Mateo to the categories of peace officers with varying powers and authority to make arrests and carry firearms.</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>S—Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 597 (Levine)</td>
<td>Probation and mandatory supervision: flash incarceration: Would extend the sunset date for the use of flash incarceration, which is used by probation departments as an intermediate sanction for violations of conditions of probation.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>S—Desk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 696 (Lackey)</td>
<td>Juveniles: wards: Would require a study of the efficacy and potential impacts of the use of OC spray in county juvenile institutional setting by an independent third-party entity.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td>A—Pub. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 964 (Medina)</td>
<td>County jails: visitation: Would require all local detention facilities to offer in-person visitation and would give any facility that does not offer in-person visitation until January 1, 2025 to comply with this requirement.</td>
<td>Oppose, unless amended*</td>
<td>A—Pub. S. (4/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1185 (McCarty)</td>
<td>Officer oversight: sheriff oversight board: Would authorize a county to establish a sheriff oversight board, either by action of the board of supervisors or through a vote of county residents. Would authorize a sheriff oversight board to issue a subpoena duces tecum when deemed necessary to investigate a matter within the jurisdiction of the board and authorize a county to establish an office of the inspector general to assist the board with its supervisorial duties, as specified.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Pub. S. (4/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1372 (Grayson)</td>
<td>Employers: prohibited disclosure of information: arrest or detention: Would allow law enforcement agencies to request and receive arrest information on non-sworn employees.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Pub. S. (4/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1491 (Obernolte)</td>
<td>Superior courts: sessions: Would expand the definition of unlawful dissemination of personal information of public officials.</td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>A—Jud. (4/9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Taxes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SCA 5 (Hill, Berman & Mullin) | **Taxation: school districts: parcel tax**  
Would lower the supermajority voter support requirement to pass school parcel taxes down to 55 percent. | Under review | S—Gov. & F. |
| SB 15 (Portantino) | **Property tax revenue allocations: successor agencies**  
Would require county auditor controllers of a county in which a successor agency is located to decrease the amount of property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to ERAF by the countywide local-state sustainable investment fund amount and to allocate a commensurate amount to the successor agencies that are located within the county. | Under review | S—Gov. & F. |
| SB 294 (Hill) | **Property taxation: welfare exemption: low income housing**  
Would allow for a partial exemption for non-publicly financed affordable housing and increases the statewide cap on the value of the property used for non-publicly financed affordable housing, supporting the work of the St. Francis Center in North Fair Oaks. | Support* | S—Appr. |

## Wildfire & Emergency Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number &amp; Author</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SB 45 (Allen) | **Wildfire, Drought and Flood Protection Bond Act of 2020**  
Would enact the Wildfire, Drought and Flood Protection Bond Act of 2020, which if approved by the voters, would authorize the issuance of $4.3 billion in general obligation bonds to finance projects to restore fire damaged areas, reduce wildfire risk, create healthy forest and watersheds, reduce climate impacts on urban areas and vulnerable populations, reduce flood risk, among other things. | Under review | S—E. Q. (4/3) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IHSS—MOE</td>
<td>Revised County In-Home Supportive Services Maintenance of Effort</td>
<td>Support*</td>
<td>S—Sub #3 HHS (3/14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Urgent Response System</td>
<td>$15M State budget proposal in FY 19-20 and $30M ongoing to provide foster youth and their caregivers with immediate trauma informed support when issues arise via a statewide hotline available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.</td>
<td>Support*</td>
<td>A—Sub #1 HHS (4/10) &amp; S—Sub #3 HHS (4/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Census 2020</td>
<td>$54 million proposal for funding of the 2020 Census effort in Governor’s FY 2019-20 State Budget, plus a $5 million increase in funding to support</td>
<td>Support*</td>
<td>S—Sub #4 State Adm. &amp; Gen. Gov. (4/4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
March 25, 2019

The Honorable David Chiu, Chair
Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee
1020 N Street, Room 162
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Assembly Bill 738 (Mullin) Regional housing need allocation: County of San Mateo—SUPPORT

Dear Chair Chiu:

On behalf of the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors, I write in strong support of Assembly Bill 738, a measure that encourages cities in our County, on a pilot basis, to pool affordable housing funds to more quickly build affordable housing and receive credit on their Housing Element Annual Progress Report.

The County of San Mateo is undoubtedly one of the most expensive places to live in the country. With the median price of a single-family home in our County in 2019 topping $1.325 million and the average rent for a two-bedroom apartment at $3,170, it is no wonder that we are in many respects ground zero for the housing affordability crisis. To generate the funding needed to build more affordable housing units, our County and nearly all the cities within it have adopted impact fees, in-lieu fees, or both, to support this type of construction over the past several years. Unfortunately, as separate entities these funds need to accumulate for years until there are enough to fund an affordable housing project. This is a particularly challenging to our smaller cities, which often lack a pipeline of projects or funds to sufficiently subsidize a project.

San Mateo County jurisdictions have a track record of working collaboratively to address housing challenges, and many of our cities have expressed interest in sharing their affordable housing funds with other cities in our County, if they can receive RHNA credit or some other formal recognition for their contribution. Unfortunately, under current law, jurisdictions cannot get formal credit from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for their contributions to produce housing units in another jurisdiction, and thus, lack the incentive to share these funds.

Assembly Bill 738 allows jurisdictions that provide a significant share of the local funding for an affordable development in another jurisdiction to claim a portion of the development on the Housing Element Annual Progress Report within the San Mateo County sub-region, if both jurisdictions agree. To allow this, the legislation requires the two jurisdictions enter into an agreement that allows entitlements, building permits, and certificates of occupancy issued by one jurisdiction to be reported on the APR of the other jurisdiction. Credit for the contribution is determined by a ratio of the amount of the contribution to the project.

To address fair housing concerns and ensure appropriate safeguards, the measure includes several important requirements that must be met, including that: 1) both jurisdictions be located within and
participate in the County’s sub-region; 2) both jurisdictions have an HCD-certified housing element; 3) both jurisdictions hold a public hearing on the proposed agreement; 4) no more than 25 percent of a donor jurisdiction’s low income and very low income RHNA obligation be transferred during the Housing Element cycle; 5) transfers meet fair housing rules and findings would be required to demonstrate that the proposed project does not exacerbate racial, ethnic, or economic segregation or concentrate affordable units in lower-income areas; and 6) cities continue to have sufficient land zoned to meet their RHNA requirements. Finally, this measure sunsets on January 31, 2031 allowing for examination of the process.

By providing formal recognition for cooperative efforts across jurisdictional boundaries, our County believes this legislation will benefit both donor and recipient jurisdictions by deploying local housing funds more strategically and quickly to meet our affordable housing needs. For these reasons, the County of San Mateo urges your support of Assembly Bill 738.

Should you have any questions about our position, please contact Connie Juarez-Diroll, Legislative Officer (650-599-1341, cjuarez-diroll@smcgov.org).

Sincerely,

Carole Groom, President
Board of Supervisors

Cc: Assembly Member Kevin Mullin
All Members, Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee
San Mateo County Delegation
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
County Manager
Home For All Steering Council
March 18, 2019

The Honorable Kevin Mullin
Speaker Pro Tempore, California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 3160
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Assembly Bill 825 (Mullin) - San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Agency—SUPPORT

Dear Assembly Member Mullin:

On behalf of the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors, we are writing in strong support of AB 825 (Mullin) which would modify the current San Mateo County Flood Control District (FCD) to serve as the County’s new Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency Agency (FSLRRA).

San Mateo County has been identified as one of the most at risk counties in California to sea level rise. In March 2018 the County completed a sea level rise vulnerability assessment which found that $34 billion in property value would be flooded on the Bayshore and on the Coastside, and that $932 million in property value could be at risk from erosion on the Coastside (2015 assessed values). The properties at risk include tens of thousands of residential parcels, thousands of commercial parcels, miles of critical State and regional highways, roads and railways, several wastewater treatment plants, and other critical infrastructure.

After several years of analysis and discussion among County and city leaders, state legislators, and Congresswoman Jackie Speier, we determined that collective action was necessary. We concluded that the creation of a countywide sea level rise and flood control agency would allow us to address the challenge of flooding and sea level rise across jurisdictional lines, create expertise in one agency which all the cities could draw upon, and make us more competitive for regional, state and federal funds.

Rather than create a new agency, we determined that the existing FCD could be modified to create the FSLRRA. This bill would modify the FCD to change the name to the Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency Agency, revise the governance to a seven-member board including five city council representatives and two county supervisors, expand its scope to address sea level rise, and update its funding and financing authority to reflect changes in the State Constitution since the FCD was originally established.
The FSLRRA would address sea level rise, flooding, coastal erosion, and large-scale stormwater infrastructure improvements through integrated regional planning, design, permitting, project implementation, and long-term operations and maintenance with the goal of creating a resilient “one shoreline” San Mateo County by 2100. The FSLRRA would work with stakeholders to plan, implement, and maintain multi-jurisdictional projects that would provide flood and sea level rise protection, as well as other public benefits such as water quality improvements, habitat restoration, and recreation.

Formation of the FSLRRA is of critical importance to San Mateo County. It is essential that the risks posed by sea level rise be addressed to protect our economic and community infrastructure. We thank you for your leadership in this effort and reiterate our strong support for AB 825 (Mullin) to enable the creation of the San Mateo County FSLRRA.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Carole Groom, President
President, San Mateo County Board of Supervisors

Dave Pine
San Mateo County Supervisor, District 1

CC: San Mateo County State Delegation Members
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
San Mateo County Manager
San Mateo County Public Works
March 25, 2019

The Honorable Mike McGuire, Chair
Senate Governance and Finance Committee
State Capitol, Room 408
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Senate Bill 294 (Hill) Property taxation: welfare exemption: low income housing—SUPPORT

Dear Senator McGuire:

On behalf of the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors, I write to express our support for Senate Bill 294, which would aid nonprofits and religious organizations that do not receive any tax subsidies or grants from the State and that provide affordable housing to low income families, by increasing their property tax exemption from $20 million to $250 million, and lowering the percentage of low income residents required in a given property from 90 percent to 50 percent in order to be eligible for tax relief on a pro rata basis.

For many years, job growth in our County has increased faster than housing production. This has caused a severe shortage in workforce housing, particularly for lower-income workers that comprise a significant portion of the new jobs added. With the median price of a single-family home in San Mateo County in 2019 topping $1.325 million and the average rent for a two-bedroom apartment at $3,170, it is not surprising that the jobs-housing fit is a significant challenge to our County.

Given the severity of the affordable housing challenge and the astronomical rate of increases in property values over the last several years in our County, it is more important than ever to preserve and assist the efforts of nonprofits like the Saint Francis Center in Redwood City, which provide non-publicly financed affordable housing to extremely low-income residents without receiving tax credits from the state or federal governments. Regrettably—and despite recent successful legislative efforts to increase the cap to keep up with increasing property values—the current property tax exemption cap limits the ability of these types of non-profits to provide more affordable housing.

Adding to the problem, the current 90 percent low income tenancy requirement hamstrings charities like the St. Francis Center with a few moderate-income tenants in the building from benefitting from the existing $20 million property tax exemption. Lowering the required percentage of low-income tenants and prorating the exemption based on low-income tenancy would help to alleviate displacement that can result when a charity purchases a building with existing tenants as was recently the case with the St. Francis Center, and allow them to use these funds to help more families secure affordable housing.

For these reasons, the County of San Mateo fully supports Senate Bill 294 and strongly urges your support for this measure. Should you have any questions about our position, please contact Connie Juarez-Diroll, Legislative Officer (650-599-1341, cjuarez-diroll@smcgov.org).

Sincerely,

Carole Groom, President
Board of Supervisors

Cc: The Honorable Jerry Hill
Members of the San Mateo County Delegation
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
County Manager
March 18, 2019

The Honorable Eloise Gomez Reyes
Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 1
State Capitol, Room 2175
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Adult Protective Services and Public Administrators/Guardians/Conservators: Support $5.75 million State Funding for Training

Dear Chair Reyes:

On behalf of the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors and San Mateo County Health, we urge your support to continue and increase statewide training of social work staff in the Adult Protective Services (APS) program and for County Public Administrators/Guardians/Conservators (PA/PG/PC). These trainings are critical to ensuring that our social workers and our PA/PG/PCs are prepared for the coming “aging wave.”

San Mateo County has the oldest IHSS population in California with 26% of IHSS recipients aged 85 years old and over, versus only 15% for the rest of the state. Research shows that older adults who are in poor health, have a physical disability and/or cognitive impairment are at increased risk of becoming victims of elder abuse. The APS Training Program provides critical skills, strategies, and competencies that empower our staff to effectively protect and serve older and dependent adult victims of abuse and neglect.

The Legislature’s one-time appropriation of $3 million, 3-year grant funding to build an APS training infrastructure was an important start. However, this funding will sunset on June 30, 2019, resulting in a dismantling of the training program just as counties are gearing up to meet an increasing demand for services. California’s population of elderly is growing at an exponential rate—by 2020, one in five Californians will be age 65 or older and that number will grow to one in four Californians by 2030. The result is an increasing demand for local services such as APS and PA/PG/PC.

San Mateo County’s Aging and Adult Services provides in person responses to reports of abuse and neglect of elders and dependent adults 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, often working in concert with our PA/PG/PC team to protect victims and their assets and maintain adults in the least restrictive, community-based setting. Not only is this a better outcome for older adults, it also reduces the costs of hospitalizations and nursing home care born by the State and taxpayers. APS workers are the first-line of defense against elder and dependent adult abuse and must be prepared to respond to crisis. In extreme cases, APS services are life-saving.
In the three short years since the APS Training Program was expanded, our County APS social workers and PA/PG/PCs gained valuable new skills and knowledge that translated directly to the clients who we serve. The trainings have improved our investigations into abuse and neglect reports, increased our social workers’ ability to assess for risk, increased their engagement skills with victims and their family members, and improved safety planning to protect victims from further abuse. The trainings also improved our collaboration and joint investigations with PA/PG/PC and local law enforcement agencies on a myriad of cases including financial abuse.

The State is responsible for funding and delivering APS training to ensure statewide consistency and quality of APS services. A strong APS training infrastructure is critical to ensuring statewide quality in direct services to victims of elder and dependent adult abuse and neglect.

We believe the requested $5.75 million will serve as a critical investment in the quality and effectiveness of APS and PA/PG/PC services and will enable us to meet the increasing needs of our aging population. For these reasons, the County of San Mateo urges your support.

Sincerely,

Carole Groom, President
Board of Supervisors

cc: The Honorable Melissa Hurtado, CA State Senate
The Honorable Jeff Stone, CA State Senate
County Welfare Directors Association of California
San Mateo County State Delegation Members
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
San Mateo County Manager
San Mateo County Health System.
March 18, 2019

The Honorable Dr. Richard Pan
Chair, Senate Budget Subcommittee No. 3
State Capitol, Room 5114
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Adult Protective Services and Public Administrators/Guardians/Conservators:
Support $5.75 million State Funding for Training

Dear Chairman Pan:

On behalf of the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors and San Mateo County Health, we urge your support to continue and increase statewide training of social work staff in the Adult Protective Services (APS) program and for County Public Administrators/Guardians/Conservators (PA/PG/PC). These trainings are critical to ensuring that our social workers and our PA/PG/PCs are prepared for the coming “aging wave.”

San Mateo County has the oldest IHSS population in California with 26% of IHSS recipients aged 85 years old and over, versus only 15% for the rest of the state. Research shows that older adults who are in poor health, have a physical disability and/or cognitive impairment are at increased risk of becoming victims of elder abuse. The APS Training Program provides critical skills, strategies, and competencies that empower our staff to effectively protect and serve older and dependent adult victims of abuse and neglect.

The Legislature’s one-time appropriation of $3 million, 3-year grant funding to build an APS training infrastructure was an important start. However, this funding will sunset on June 30, 2019, resulting in a dismantling of the training program just as counties are gearing up to meet an increasing demand for services. California’s population of elderly is growing at an exponential rate—by 2020, one in five Californians will be age 65 or older and that number will grow to one in four Californians by 2030. The result is an increasing demand for local services such as APS and PA/PG/PC.

San Mateo County’s Aging and Adult Services provides in person responses to reports of abuse and neglect of elders and dependent adults 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, often working in concert with our PA/PG/PC team to protect victims and their assets and maintain adults in the least restrictive, community-based setting. Not only is this a better outcome for older adults, it also reduces the costs of hospitalizations and nursing home care born by the State and taxpayers. APS workers are the first-line of defense against elder and dependent adult abuse and must be prepared to respond to crisis. In extreme cases, APS services are life-saving.
In the three short years since the APS Training Program was expanded, our County APS social workers and PA/PG/PCs gained valuable new skills and knowledge that translated directly to the clients who we serve. The trainings have improved our investigations into abuse and neglect reports, increased our social workers' ability to assess for risk, increased their engagement skills with victims and their family members, and improved safety planning to protect victims from further abuse. The trainings also improved our collaboration and joint investigations with PA/PG/PC and local law enforcement agencies on a myriad of cases including financial abuse.

The State is responsible for funding and delivering APS training to ensure statewide consistency and quality of APS services. A strong APS training infrastructure is critical to ensuring statewide quality in direct services to victims of elder and dependent adult abuse and neglect.

We believe the requested $5.75 million will serve as a critical investment in the quality and effectiveness of APS and PA/PG/PC services and will enable us to meet the increasing needs of our aging population. For these reasons, the County of San Mateo urges your support.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Carole Groom, President
Board of Supervisors

cc: The Honorable Melissa Hurtado, CA State Senate
    The Honorable Jeff Stone, CA State Senate
    County Welfare Directors Association of California
    San Mateo County State Delegation Members
    San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
    San Mateo County Manager
    San Mateo County Health System.
March 18, 2019

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Governor of California
State Capitol
Sacramento, CA  95814

Re:    Revised County In-Home Supportive Services Maintenance of Effort – Support

Dear Governor Newsom:

On behalf of the County of San Mateo, I write in strong support of the revised In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) Maintenance of Effort (MOE) proposal. Our county is grateful for the inclusion of this important proposal in your January budget which significantly increases State General Fund commitments for IHSS costs and creates a more sustainable structure for counties to manage IHSS costs.

The IHSS program provides critical services to seniors and disabled individuals to help them remain in their own homes rather than in more expensive institutional care. Counties have proudly partnered with the state and administered the IHSS program since it was realigned in 1991. We were one of eight counties that piloted the Coordinated-Care Initiative (CCI) in 2013 and have achieved remarkable results in collaboration with our managed care partners to reduce long-term care days for County residents. Seeing how our clients have benefited from the CCI model, we have continued to maintain CCI-IHSS case management services in San Mateo despite the dismantling of the 2012 IHSS MOE after June 30, 2017 and increased County costs.

In 2017, the conclusion of the Coordinated Care Initiative also resulted in the cessation of the existing IHSS MOE and the shift of nearly $600 million in IHSS costs from the state to counties. In response, a new IHSS MOE was negotiated through 2017-18 budget-related legislation (SB 90, Chapter 25, Statutes of 2017), which also included specific offsetting revenue, additional collective bargaining provisions, and refinement of the costs for county administration of the IHSS program.

Most significantly, SB 90 also contained a provision that required the Department of Finance to reexamine the 2017 IHSS fiscal structure during the development of the 2019-20 budget. This reopener provision was absolutely vital as beginning in 2019-20, the increased costs to counties would become unsustainable. This includes substantial Realignment revenue shortfalls that would grow each year and require counties to utilize significant county General Fund usually earmarked for local services for IHSS instead. Counties were also concerned that there would be increasing negative impacts to critical health and mental health services.

The Department of Finance released the Senate Bill 90: 1991 Realignment Report in January and it outlines the Governor’s proposed revisions to the IHSS MOE. It also includes some proposed related Realignment changes and this letter focuses on the core changes to revise the IHSS MOE and increase the state General Fund commitment. The Governor is proposing to increase the State General Fund
commitment to IHSS by $241.7 million in 2019-20, growing to $547.3 million in 2022-23, for a total of an increased commitment of $1.6 billion over the next four years. This is accomplished through several specific revisions to the current IHSS MOE. These changes are:

- Lowering the County IHSS MOE base in 2019-20 to $1.56 billion,
- Reducing the MOE inflation factor from seven percent to four percent,
- Stopping the redirection of VLF growth funds from Health, Mental Health, and County Medical Services Program to Social Services,
- Ending the State General Fund IHSS mitigation,
- Returning to the original method for calculating IHSS caseload and no longer utilizing accelerated caseload growth, and
- Funding IHSS administrative costs through a General Fund allocation.

The increased State General Fund investment will provide needed fiscal relief for counties and allow our county to continue to deliver vital services on behalf of the State. The Governor’s proposal does not take away all of the risk of Realignment, but dramatically improves the outlook for counties, critical social services, health, and mental health programs, and the residents we all serve for years to come.

For these reasons, San Mateo County supports this proposal and thanks you for your leadership in this area.

Sincerely,

Carole Groom, President
Board of Supervisors

cc: Honorable Members, Assembly Budget Subcommittee #1
Honorable Members, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Subcommittee #3
The Honorable Phil Ting, Chair, Assembly Budget Committee
The Honorable Holly Mitchell, Chair, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee
San Mateo County State Delegation Members
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
San Mateo County Manager
San Mateo County Health System
April 1, 2019

The Honorable Jose Medina
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 2141
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Assembly Bill 964 (Medina) County jails: visitation—OPPOSE, UNLESS AMENDED

Dear Assembly Member Medina:

On behalf of the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors, I write to inform you of our oppose unless amended position on your measure AB 964, which would require all local detention facilities provide in-person visitation. For existing correctional facilities that do not provide in-person visitation, the measure requires they do so by January 1, 2025 without state funding.

While we do not disagree that there are positive benefits to in-person visitation, particularly for persons serving longer sentences in County jails, we are opposed to new requirements that would have us go back and reconfigure a brand new, state of the art correctional facility built to maximize programming space for both men and women, without providing the necessary state funds to make the newly required changes.

In 2016, our County completed the construction of the Maple Street Correctional Center (MCC), a 257,000-square-foot men’s and women’s jail with an 832-bed capacity. Built at a cost of approximately $181 million in local financing only, the facility replaced our old and cramped women’s jail and provides expanded space to house minimum- to medium-security male inmates previously housed at our aging and crowded Maguire Correctional Facility in downtown Redwood City. Most importantly, MCC was built to provide an expanded array of rehabilitative programs and services to both male and female inmates to better prepare them for reentry into our community. MCC now offers, in part, the following list of supportive and rehabilitative services: educational supportive programs (literacy, high school and GED), job skills training (culinary and computers), mental health and counseling programs, substance abuse treatment programs, anger management, and many others. Thus, we strongly believe that our County is at the forefront of providing compassionate corrections to all the inmates serving time at MCC.

In planning the new facility, county representatives toured the country to see the latest in jail design. Thus, our County’s decision to use video visitation exclusively at MCC was driven by a number of factors, including: the limited amount of space the County had to work within to build the new facility, efficiency of operations, safety concerns, and the beneficial aspects of video visitation such as the ability of inmates to have more frequent visits with family members who will not now have to travel such long distances to visit, and who in the future, may have the option to Skype with their incarcerated loved one.
It should be noted that throughout the MCC design process, our Sheriff's Office staff worked closely with the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) to comply with all existing State requirements, which at the time did not require in-person visitation. Since 2016, and in response to previous legislative attempts to retroactively mandate in-person visitation for facilities such as ours, the Sheriff's Office retrofitted existing space within the ground floor of Maple Street to provide an area for in-person visitation for our inmates. Unfortunately, this space would not be sufficient to meet any new standard required under AB 964 and its expansion would come at considerable cost to our County. Finally, our cost estimates have not accounted for the added, ongoing staffing costs that would be required to implement in-person visitation at our facility. Thus, these costs could easily add up to hundreds of thousands of dollars per year.

Because this legislation would impose a new retroactive requirement for the construction of in-person visitation at MCC by 2025 and our preliminary estimates are that such a retrofit could cost our County in excess of $8 million, we must respectfully oppose your bill, unless it is amended to include full state funding for the reconstruction.

Should you have any questions about our position, please do not hesitate to contact Connie Juarez-Diroll, Legislative Director, (650-599-1341, cjuarez-diroll@smcgov.org).

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Carole Groom, President
Board of Supervisors

cc: The Honorable Reginald Byron Jones-Sawyer, Sr., Chair, Assembly Public Safety Committee
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
Carlos Bolanos, Sheriff, San Mateo County
Michael Callagy, County Manager, San Mateo County
April 1, 2019

The Honorable Maria Elena Durazo
Chair, Senate Budget Subcommittee #4
State Capitol, Room 4034
Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable Jim Cooper
Chair, Assembly Budget Subcommittee #4
State Capitol, Room 6025
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: 2019-2020 State Budget – Funding for Census 2020 Related Activities – SUPPORT WITH INCREASED FUNDING

Dear Chairs Durazo and Cooper:

On behalf of the County of San Mateo, I write to express our support for the Governor’s budget proposal of $54 million for FY 2019-2020 for Census 2020 statewide efforts as well as a $5 million increase in funding to support the Region 3 local Complete Count Committees, which is comprised of the counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, and Solano.

The Governor’s current proposal includes $50 million in General Funds to support Census 2020 outreach efforts statewide and $4 million for the California Housing and Population Sample Enumeration. Although we support this proposal, it lacks additional funding for local, targeted Census 2020 efforts.

As mandated by the Constitution, Census is a decennial project. Each Census determines ten years of federal program funding allocations as well as apportionment for Congressional representation. This means that we get one chance every ten years to ensure every person is counted.

Former Governor Brown’s FY 2018-2019 budget included $90.3 million in General Funds for Census 2020 outreach; $26.6 million was specified for Counties. We are grateful for the State General Fund support for our Census 2020 efforts thus far, but the funding formula that focused on the hard-to-count populations left Northern California in a financial disadvantage compared to Southern California. The seven counties in Region 3 received a total of $3,190,327. Additional funding is necessary to reach every person in our Region.

Region 3 faces many obstacles in getting an accurate Census count.

- **Digital Divide** – Census 2020 will be the first attempt at collecting Census questionnaires online. Even in the heart of Silicon Valley, not every person has access to a reliable and secure source of internet.

- **Multigenerational Households and the Unstably Housed** – Due to the exorbitantly high cost of living in the Bay Area, households have an increased number of individuals living there. There is a fear that these families will be evicted or shunned due to the number of inhabitants. There are many individuals couch-surfing and staying in their cars because they are unable to make ends meet.

- **Fear and Uncertainty** – Like many communities throughout California, there is a cloud of fear associated with Census. There is uncertainty with privacy and security of information with the online Census questionnaire. There is also widespread fear about the sharing of information, especially with regards to immigration status. During World War II, the federal government used the Census information to locate Japanese and Japanese Americans for internment; therefore, there is a fear that Census data will be used to locate certain populations within our community. In the LGBTQ+ community, there is concern about the Bureau unilaterally changing one’s gender to “match” their legal name.
Region 3 requests an additional $5 million to be split between the Counties on a per-capita basis using 2017 American Community Survey population data. This additional funding will assist San Mateo County in the following important ways:

- Is it estimated that there are approximately 60,000 undocumented residents living in San Mateo County, combined with the high number of unconventional housing units, these groups will comprise many of the hard to count / least likely to respond communities requiring a targeted and focused education outreach program.
- The 2016 American Community Survey estimated that 9.2% of San Mateo County’s households had either no internet subscriptions, or dial-up access only. Given that the 2020 Census will be primarily on-line, the County will be providing access points and digital access to ensure a fair, complete and accurate count.
- Lastly, there are over 100 languages spoken in our county, which will require significant outreach and education efforts in multiple languages, as well as multi-lingual support and training materials to help people fill out their surveys.

For these reasons, the County of San Mateo urges your Budget Subcommittee to include the Governor’s proposed funding for Census 2020 and to provide additional funding to support our local Complete Count Committee efforts.

Should you have any questions about this request, please contact Connie Juarez-Diroll, Legislative Officer (650-599-1341, cjuarez-diroll@smcgov.org).

Sincerely,

Carole Groom, President
Board of Supervisors

CC: Senator Holly J. Mitchell, Chair of the Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review
Assemblymember Philip Y. Ting, Chair of the Assembly Committee on Budget
Senate President pro Tempore Toni G. Atkins
Assemblymember Marc Berman, Chair of the Assembly Select Committee on the Census
Senator Richard Pan, Co-Chair of the Senate Select Committee on 2020 United States Census
Senator Tom Umberg, Co-Chair of the Senate Select Committee on 2020 United States Census
San Mateo County Delegation
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
San Mateo County Manager
Martins Beach, Half Moon Bay