Addendum #01
Responses to RFSOQ Question & RFSOQ Amendments
Construction Manager at-Risk Services
for the New County Government Center Project

To All Respondents,

Please carefully review the information below and incorporate as directed into your RFSOQ submittal that is due to the San Mateo County Project Development Unit on January 8, 2018 at 2:30pm PST. Respondents submitting RFSOQ submittals that do not reflect the information provided below may be deemed non-responsive and not accepted by the County.

ANSWER TO RESPONDENTS’ QUESTION

Question #1 – We politely request you review Article 4.08 with respect to the qualification of key staff. The key staff requirement of having 5 similar complex projects within 10 years is not possible with 75% participation at each project. Most of these projects that include preconstruction will require 3-4 years of participation. Therefore, we request you change the requirement for 2 projects within 10 years with participation at 75% or greater.

Response – See amended paragraphs 4.02 and 4.08 below.

AMENDMENTS TO RFSOQ

A. The due date/time of the RFSOQ submittals shall be revised to January 8, 2018 at 2:30pm PST. The rest of the RFP schedule remains unchanged.

B. Paragraph 3.03.A is amended as below:
The Request for Statement of Qualifications submittal shall be bound and printed vertically (“portrait” orientation) on standard 8 ½” by 11” papers. The RFSOQ submittal shall not exceed fifty (50) pages, printed single-sided (excluding Attachment A-1, covers or tabs that do not contain submittal content, resumes, financial and bonding information), but preferably much shorter. Type size shall be no smaller than 10 point, but preferably larger. The top of page one of the RFSOQ submittal shall state the Proposer’s or Proposers’ firm name(s), address, phone number, fax number, contact e-mail address, and contact name.
C. Paragraph 4.02.A is amended as below:
Proposers have pre-construction and/or construction experience on a minimum of five (5) new civic buildings, office buildings, parking structures, or facilities similar to this Project in scope, scale and complexity, each with construction budgets in excess of $50 million dollars for civic/office buildings and in excess of $20 million dollars for parking structures, with a minimum of two (2) of the listed projects completed within the prior ten (10) years utilizing the CM at-Risk delivery method, and preferably with at least two (2) of them completed in the Bay Area built in a highly dense urban environment.

D. Paragraph 4.08.A is amended as below:
With respect to Proposer’s proposed Principal-in-charge, Project Executive, Project Manager, Superintendent and Quality Control/Quality Assurance Officer (together, “Key Personnel”):

1. Each proposed key team member must have individual experience on a minimum of five (5) new civic buildings, office buildings, parking structures, or project(s) substantially similar to this Project in scope, scale and complexity with service scope that included pre-construction and/or construction of a similar nature to those contemplated for this Project, preferably with a minimum of two (2) of the listed projects completed within the prior ten (10) years utilizing the CM at-Risk delivery method.

2. The Proposed Project Manager and Superintendent must each separately have had substantial responsibility in a similar position proposed for this Project for the listed projects in Part D of Attachment A-1.
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