Addendum #05
Responses to Supplemental Questions for Construction Manager at-Risk Services for the Cordilleras Health System Replacement Project
Redwood City, CA

To All Respondents,

Please carefully review the responses below and incorporate the information as directed into your proposal that is due to the San Mateo County Project Development Unit on May 25, 2018 at 2:30pm. Respondents submitting proposals that do not reflect the information provided below may be deemed non-responsive and not accepted by the County.

Please note - All proposers shall utilize the updated Document 00 4001 Proposal Price Form as provided within this addendum when submitting their proposals. Please see the following link for the updated bid form: https://bit.ly/2KDZJP7

ANSWERS TO SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS

Question #01 – Should the full price of the GL and WC CCIP be included in the bid with the intention of having subcontractors bid without GL and WC for the county to realize the full insurance savings through those bids or do you want the CMR’s to include a lower CCIP cost in the bid with the intention of having the subs bid with insurance and the CMR’s collect the credits from the subcontractors? We would recommend the former.

Response – CMRs shall include coverage for the subcontractor in the CCIP for General Liability (GL) and Workers Compensation (WC). Subcontractors shall maintain the same insurance required to be maintained by Contractor (with the same deductibles/SIR’s and other requirements) with respect to their portions of the Work as stated in Document 00 7311 (Insurance and Indemnifications), Article 1.11.

Question #02 – If an underground obstruction or contaminated soil is encountered, that was not reasonably inferred from the geotechnical report, who is responsible to pay for its removal and disposal?

Response – As stated in Document 01 2600 (Contract Modification Procedures), Article 1.03F, CMR shall submit Notices of Differing Site Conditions and/or Hazardous Waste Conditions to resolve problems regarding differing underground site conditions encountered in the execution of the Work pursuant to Article XIV of Document 00 7200 (General Conditions). If Owner determines that a change in Contract Sum or Contract Time is justified, Owner will issue RFP or CCD.
Question #03 – There appears to be a discrepancy in the instructions for filling out form 00 4001 between the RFP and the form itself. Please confirm which instructions we are to follow.

Response – ALL PROPOSERS SHALL SUBMIT THEIR PROPOSALS USING UPDATED DOCUMENT 00 4001 (Bid Form) AS PROVIDED WITHIN THIS ADDENDUM. Please see the following link or updated bid form: https://bit.ly/2KDZJP7

Question #04 – The Bonds and Insurance, item 7 on Form 00 4001, may have a calculation error. Typically, the cost of bonds and insurance should be calculated on the total cost of the project not the cost of construction. The instructions in the spreadsheet will result in under bidding the cost of the bonds and insurance. Please confirm the way you’d like that to be calculated.

Response – Please see response to Question #3 above.

Question #05 – Question #3 in Addendum #4 clarifies that the sitework, bridge and retaining walls will be design/build. Please clarify if design/build sitework includes all the underground utilities, above grade improvements (i.e. roads, sidewalks, etc.). Also, will fire sprinkler, fire alarm and security be design/build or designed by Cannon.

Response – The retaining wall and bridge will be design build. All paving, grading and utilities will be prepared as a design/bid/build delivery as part of the designed civil scope. Other limited areas of work may be considered for potential design build delivery with the selected CMR during the design phase.

Question #06 – There are 2 project schedules included as part of Addendum #4. One schedule is attachment 2 dated 5/4/18 the other is the schedule included in the Cannon Design Concept Design Report dated April 27, 2018. Please clarify the schedule the CMR is to base their proposal on? Also, please clarify the anticipated schedule for the CEQA process?

Response – CMRs to base their proposals on the Anticipated Project Timeline as provide as Attachment #2 within Addendum #4 dated 5/4/18. The EIR process is currently underway and it is anticipated to be approved by the County Board of Supervisors in March of 2019.

Question #07 – What responsibilities will the CMR have to manage the EIR and CEQA process?

Response – The CMR will have no direct management responsibilities during the EIR process. It is requested the CMR stay informed of the Report’s findings and provide assistance as needed to the team throughout process.

Question #08 – Included in the RFP is the ARUP Preliminary Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering Feasibility Report dated June 12, 2014. Please clarify if a complete Geology and Geotechnical Report will be done by Cannon Design for the Sitework, bridge and retaining walls design/build firms to base their proposal on? Also, when are the borings and this complete report expected to be completed?

Response – The County is in the process of completing a more extensive Geotechnical Report. The final report will be shared with the selected CMR.

Question #09 – In regards to the design/build sitework, retaining walls and bridge, what permits will the CMR and design/build contractor be responsible for the permits indicated on page 2 of the Biological Constraints Report dated Oct. 2014 and any other permits required prior to commencing the work or will this be done by the County and Cannon Design?

Response – The CMR shall be required to have their contracted Design/Build subcontractor(s) provided all permitting required for the approval of the design and installation of all the related design/build scope. The County has begun discussions with all the relevant agencies to share the design intent.
and scope of the project as a part of the EIR process. The scope of the design build work shall incorporate all information generated from the EIR process and agreements made with the individual agencies.

Question #10 – Addendum #4 Question # 38 - Response says Liquidated damages shall be enforced in accordance with document 00 5201, article IV, section 4.01 paragraph C. The delays covered in section 4.02 does not list delays that may be caused by the permitting process as indicated in the Biological Constraints Report. The report also indicates that if certain species are found on the project site may halt the project. Please verify these findings do not apply to the liquidated damages.

Response – Delays created by permitting and other unknown conditions and/or requirements discovered in the course of executing the work shall be reviewed by the County and in accordance with the requirements of the contract. The CMR is required to provide the required notifications for the delay and time extension. The County will then review the notifications to determine the cause of the delay, if the time extension is warranted and if liquidated damages may or may not be assessed in accordance with the contract.
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