Addendum #01
A/E Services for the New Parking Structure
Response to RFSOQ Questions

To All Respondents,

Please carefully review the responses below and incorporate the information as directed into your submittal of qualifications due to the San Mateo County Project Development Unit on May 19, 2017. Respondents submitting qualifications that do not reflect the information provided below may be deemed non-responsive and not receive a Request for Proposal.

**RFSOQ Addendum**

*Add Item #1 – Add to Part 1, Introduction* - New paragraph 1.04 shall be inserted immediately following after paragraph 1.03 within this RFSOQ and shall read as follows;

1.04 It is the County’s desire to award the “Architectural Contract” to an Architectural or an Architectural and Engineering firm, joint venture or other recognized partnership as stated with this RFSOQ. Additionally, the Lead Architect should serve as the primary consultant in dealings with the County.

*Add Item #2 – Add to Part 4, Statement of Qualifications* - Please delete existing paragraph 4.02 within the RFSOQ and replace in kind with new paragraph 4.02 provided provide below. The new paragraph shall read as follows;

4.02 Respondents to this Request for Statement of Qualifications (RFSOQ) should have a minimum of ten (10) years of experience in designing parking structures. All Lead Project Team Members shall also have successfully participated and completed in at least three (3) parking structures, two projects completed locally and are within a highly dense urban environment within the last ten (10) years with construction budgets exceeding $15 million dollars each.
Add Item #3 - Add to Part 5, Scope of Service 5.01 – New paragraph I should be inserted within this RFSOQ immediately following paragraph F in Section 5, Scope of Services 5.01 and shall read as follows;

G. A/E firms will include in their designs concepts and strategies the incorporation of Zero Net Energy principles in the New Parking Facility.

Add Item #4 - Add to Part 5, Scope of Service 5.02 – New paragraph K should be inserted within this RFSOQ immediately following paragraph J in Section 5, Scope of Services 5.02 and shall read as follows;

K. A/E firms will include in their designs concepts and strategies the incorporation of Zero Net Energy principles in the New Parking Facility.

ANSWERS TO RESPONDENTS QUESTIONS

Question #1 – Referencing 6.08, Financial Information, Item A. We propose to issue 3 years of compiled financial statements to meet the requirement to demonstrate the financial capability necessary for this Project. Please confirm this is acceptable for A&E Services.

Response – Three years of compiled financial statements will satisfy the County requirement provided they have been audited or reviewed by an independent accounting as requested previously within Section 6.08, paragraph A. All other sections remain the same.

Question #2 - Will the County consider the prime consultant, if the prime is an engineering firm? The team will have architecture as well as other disciplines on the team and would include all necessary support as per the RFP?

Response – It is the County’s desire to award the “Architectural Contract” to an Architectural or an Architectural and Engineering firm, joint venture or other recognized partnership as stated with this RFSOQ. Please see “new “ paragraph 1.04 as provided in Add Item #1 within this addenda.

Question #3 - Paragraph 4.02 of your RFSOQ states and we are making this formal request that it be modified. Our request is to change the last line in that paragraph to “within the last 30 years with construction budgets exceeding $15 million dollars each”, from 10 years?

Response – The County has reduced the required of completed projects from five (5) to three (3) within the last 10 years. Please see “new” paragraph 4.02 as provided in Add Item #2 within this addenda.
**Question #4** - Since LEED is no longer applicable, will the city be pursuing Parksmart Certification?

Response – The Parksmart Certification may be considered during design.

**Question #5** - Do you want the electronic copy via email or USB, DVD, etc.?

Answer – For the electronic copy the County will accept USB.

**Question #6** - Do you only want resumes for project architectural team members or also resumes of proposed sub consultants?

Answer – Resumes for sub-consultants are not required during the RFSOQ evaluation(s).

**Question #7** - Is the facility to be a 24/7 facility or will there be a perimeter (physical) security closure/envelope integrated into design for use when the facility is closed?

Answer – The new parking structure shall be considered as a 24/7 operational building. Please be advised that this may be re-visited during the Design Phase depending on user operational and program needs.

**Question #8** - Will the County be using a Photovoltaic array over the top parking deck/roof?

Answer – The County will consider many types of methods for energy savings and creation. Photovoltaic arrays will be considered.

**Question #9** - Will the security system be tied to a San Mateo County Government Center system or will the Parking structure security system stand on its own?

Answer – The security system shall be connected to other buildings within County Government Center.

**Question #10** - Signage and Wayfinding – will the signage program be tied to an existing program for the Government Center or will the Signage for the Parking Structure it stand on its own?

Answer – The signage and wayfinding packages will be unique to the structure and not intended to match the existing.
**Question #11** - Should respondents include a comprehensive list of all possible preferred consultants for each type of service or only provide one preferred consultant for each type of service?

Answer – Yes, as indicated in in Section 6.03, paragraph C.

**Question #12** - Should respondents include resumes for subcontractors or just a list?

Answer – Resumes for sub-consultants are not required during the RFSOQ evaluation(s).

**Question #13** - Could you tell us who prepared the study/programming documents for this project and is that team precluded from the current SOQ?

Answer – Current scoping study document is prepared by Dreyfus and Blackford, and the firm is not precluded from submitting the RFSOQ. The Scoping study will be provided to all shortlisted firms.
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