Addendum #02
A/E Services for the Cordilleras Mental Health Facility
Response to Walkthrough Questions & Corrections to Addendum #01

To All Respondents,

This Addendum is issued by the County of San Mateo to provide responses to questions received during the walkthrough on 7/24/17 and to provide clarifications to responses in Addendum 1. Please carefully review the responses below and incorporate the information as directed into your submittal of qualifications due to the San Mateo County Project Development Unit on August 11, 2017. Respondents submitting qualifications that do not reflect the information provided below may be deemed non-responsive and not receive a Request for Proposal.

Modifications

Item #1 - SOQ due date shall be August 11, 2017.

Item #2 - Last line of response to question #12 shall read “See Item #3 in addenda above”.
Item #3 – Response to Question #5 shall be modified as follows:

The environmental consultant to be retained by the County will work on the CEQA Application including the further development of the Biological Constraints Analysis noted in Addendum #1. The selected A&E team may be required to assist as needed in the CEQA process. The selected A&E team shall work concurrently on their design while the CEQA Analysis is being completed and incorporate any CEQA mitigation requirements into their designs.

Item #4 – Response to Question #12 shall be modified as follows:

For the RFSOQ response, the assurance (i.e. statement) of compliance shall be included in the body of the cover letter. This cover letter can be the same as the statement required in Section 2.03 and shall count towards the 50-page limit. See item #3 in Addendum 1.

Item #5 – Response to Question #13 shall be modified as follows:

There is no form of verification required to be signed and submitted as part of the SOQ submittal. Please see item #4 in addenda above. The signed cover letter submitted as part of the SOQ needs to include the line “I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that all information submitted under this RFQ is true and correct”. This cover letter can be same as the statement required in Section 2.03 and shall count towards the 50-page limit.

Item #6 – Response to Question #16 shall be modified as follows:

“Bridging Documents” shall henceforth be called “Reference Design Documents”. These shall be made available along with the RFP for reference only. This project shall be treated as a new design, separate from the previous effort.

Item #7 – Response to Question #19 shall be modified as follows:

The County does have an arborist on board as part of the Environmental Consultant team that developed the Biological Constraints Analysis. The arborist has tagged and inventoried the trees on site but there is no formal arborist report developed at this time. The respondents do not need to include an arborist in their scope.

Item #8 – Response to question about existing water tank received during walkthrough

Question: Is the water in the existing water tank being used by the neighboring Fire Station?
Answer: To the best of our knowledge, the existing water tank is not connected to the neighboring Fire station. Per Page 9 of the Feasibility Report, the existing water tank has a capacity of 150,000 gallons and supplies domestic and fire water to the existing Cordilleras Facility. Page 9 of the Feasibility Report also provides information on the proposed domestic and fire water design for the new Facility.